[6] 1. Which of the following numbers can be written as a sum of two squares? If not, explain why not. If it can be, find such a sum.

(a)
$$m = 360$$
 (b) $n = 375$

Solution: $360 = 6^2 \times 10$. Clearly $10 = 1^2 + 3^2$, so $360 = 6^2 + 18^2$.

 $375=3\times5^3$, so 3 occurs as a factor an odd number of times and therefore 375 cannot be written as a sum of two squares.

Remark: The best solutions to these two part were of relatively equal difficulty, and so the marks were split equally between the two parts.

[3] 2. (a) Find the prime power factorization of $\phi(140^2)$.

Solution: $140^2 = (4 \times 5 \times 7)^2 = 2^4 5^2 7^2$. Therefore, $\phi(140) = \phi(2^4)\phi(5^2)\phi(7^2) = 2^3 \times (5^2 - 5) \times (7^2 - 7) = 2^3 \times 20 \times 42 = 2^6 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7$.

[3] (b) Prove that if m is odd, then $\phi(4m) = 2\phi(m)$.

Solution: If m is odd then (4, m) = 1 so $\phi(4m) = \phi(4)\phi(m) = 2\phi(m)$.

[6] 3. Find all solutions, if any, of each of the two following congruences.

(a) $60x \equiv 54 \pmod{90}$

(b) $42x \equiv 54 \pmod{90}$

Solution: (60, 90) = 30 but $30 \not\mid 54$, so congruence (a) does not have a solution. (42, 90) = 6 and 6|54 so we divide through by 6 and solve $7x \equiv 9 \pmod{15}$.

Many pathways to the answer are acceptable, but the fastest is (probably) to note that $2 \cdot 27 = 14 \equiv -1 \pmod{15}$ and so $-x \equiv 2 \cdot 9 = 18 \equiv 3 \pmod{15}$, or $x \equiv 12 \pmod{15}$.

Therefore $x \equiv 12, 27, 42, 57, 72$, or 87 (mod 90).

Remark: The best solution to part (a) is trivial, whereas part (b) genuinely requires several different steps of work. The marks are split 2 + 4; part of what was being tested here was your ability to recognize the difference between the two parts.

An essential part of any answer lies in actually expressing the full answer modulo 90.

[8] 4. Consider the congruence $x^2 + 12x + 14 \equiv 0 \pmod{21}$.

Solve this congruence by first reducing it to simpler congruences (modulo other bases) and then combining the solutions to solve the original problem.

Solution: $21 = 3 \times 7$ and (3,7) = 1, so by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, this congruence is equivalent to the pair of congruences

$$x^{2} + 12x + 14 \equiv x^{2} + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$
 (A)

and

$$x^{2} + 12x + 14 \equiv x^{2} + 5x \equiv x(x+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$
(B)

From the first congruence, $x \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ or $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, and so for some t, x = 3t + 1 or $x \equiv 3t + 2$.

From the second congruence, $x \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ or $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$.

We can combine these in four ways.

If x = 3t + 1 and $x \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ then $3t + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, or $t \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$. Thus for some s, x = 3(7t + 2) + 1 = 21t + 7.

If x = 3t + 1 and $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ then $3t + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$, or $t \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$. Thus for some s, x = 3(7s + 5) + 1 = 21t + 16.

If x = 3t + 2 and $x \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ then $3t + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, or $t \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$. Thus for some s, x = 3(7t + 4) + 2 = 21t + 14.

If x = 3t + 2 and $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ then $3t + 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$, or $t \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$. Thus for some s, x = 3(7t) + 2 = 21t + 2.

The four solutions are $x \equiv 2 \pmod{21}$, $x \equiv 7 \pmod{21}$, $x \equiv 14 \pmod{21}$, and $x \equiv 16 \pmod{21}$.

Remarks: One of the most common errors was failing to reduce the coefficients modulo 3 and modulo 7 in equations (A) and (B). The coefficients were chosen so that the simplified congruences would be trivial to solve.

We learned systematic methods for solving these kinds of problems, and although I was willing to accept "trial and error", most attempted solutions by this method had a lot of errors or were incomplete.

[6] 5. Find all solutions in positive integers to 11x + 5y = 82.

For full marks, your solution must demonstrate the formal method learned in class.

Solution: Set up a small augmented matrix and do some column reductions.

$11 \boxed{5} \mid 82 C$	$C_1 - 2C_2$	1 5	82 $C_2 - 5C_1$
1 0 x		1 0	x
0 1 y		-2 1	y
* *		* *	
·		·	
	1 0	82	
	1 - 5	\overline{x}	
	-2 11	y	
	u v		

We have new variables u and v. The first line of the reduced matrix gives u = 82, and then we take v as a parameter.

We get x = 82 - 5v and y = -164 + 11v.

Remark: This is enough for full marks for the solution; I did not ask for a solution in vector form or for a "reduction of constants". You should be prepared for a question with those requirements on the final exam.

For positive solutions we need 82 - 5v > 0 or $16 \ge v$, and -164 + 11v > 0 or $v \ge 15$. This gives two positive solutions: $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle 2, 12 \rangle$ and $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle 7, 1 \rangle$.

Remarks: To reduce the constants, -164 = 11(-15) + 1, so set v = k + 15 to get x = 7 - 5k and y = 1 + 11k.

In vector form the two forms of the general solution that we have found are:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} x\\ y \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 82\\ -164 \end{array}\right] + v \left[\begin{array}{c} -5\\ 11 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 7\\ 1 \end{array}\right] + k \left[\begin{array}{c} -5\\ 11 \end{array}\right]$$

[4] 6. Given that $5 \cdot 19 \equiv 1 \pmod{48}$ and that $a^{19} \equiv 2 \pmod{65}$, find a (as a least residue modulo 65).

There is an important named theorem that you must mention at one point in your solution.

Solution: Only one student noticed the serious error in the statement of this question: in fact, $5 \cdot 19 \equiv -1 \pmod{48}$.

It is still possible to solve the problem using the corrected statement (for then the multiplicative inverse of 19 modulo 48 is $-5 \equiv 43 \pmod{48}$. But that would require a lot more computation than I had intended for this test.

Remark: It is of course entirely possible to generate an "answer" by the standard method that we learned. Almost half of you did so, correctly, as follows:

Solution: By Euler's Theorem, if (a, m) = 1 then $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Note that $65 = 5 \times 13$, so $\phi(65) = 4 \times 12 = 48$. So $19 \times 5 = \phi(65)k + 1$ for some k Then $(a^{19})^5 \equiv a^{\phi(65)k}a \equiv a \pmod{65}$. Therefore $a \equiv 2^5 = 32 \pmod{65}$.

Remark: The correct version of this question would have "19" replaced everywhere by "29".