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## University ofManitoba

## Preliminaries

## Local projectivity

## Definition

A module ${ }_{R} P$ is locally projective iff all diagrams

with the top row exact and $F$ finitely generated, can be completed as shown, so that $f g^{\prime} i=f g$.

## A characterization of projectivity

## A characterization of projectivity

The following are equivalent:
(1) ${ }_{R} P$ is projective;
(2) ${ }_{R} P$ is a direct summand of a free left $R$-module;
(3) Dual Basis Theorem:

There are elements $\left\langle p_{i}\right\rangle_{i \in I}$ and homomorphisms $\left\langle f_{i}\right\rangle_{i \in 1}$, $f_{i}: P \rightarrow R$, such that for every $p \in P$,

- $f_{i}(p)=0$ for almost all $i \in I$;
(2) $p=\sum_{i \in 1} f_{i}(p) p_{i}$.


## Flat modules

## Characterizations of flatness

The following are equivalent:
(1) ${ }_{R} F$ is flat;
(2) The functor __ $\otimes F: \operatorname{Mod}-R \rightarrow \mathbf{A b}$ is exact;
(3) For every finite $\mathbf{r} \in R$ and corresponding $\mathbf{x} \in F$ such that $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{x}=0$, there is a (finite) matrix $A$ over $R$ and tuple $\mathbf{y} \in F$ such that $\mathbf{r} A=0$ and $A \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}$.
(9) (Rothmaler) For every left pp formula $\varphi(\mathbf{x}), \varphi[F]=\varphi\left[{ }_{R} R\right] F$.

Free implies Projective implies Flat

## Infinite matrices

Let $I$ and $J$ be non-empty sets; generally treated as index sets with no other implied structure.

An $I \times J$ matrix over $R$ is a function $A: I \times J \rightarrow R$.

For each $i \in I$, the restriction ${ }_{i} A$ of $A$ to $\{i\} \times J$ is called a row of $A$. Similarily we define the columns of $A$.
$A$ is row finite if every row of $A$ is 0 almost everywhere. Similarly, column finite.

Similarly, row or column vectors of elements of $R$, of variables, of some $R$-module $M$.

## Algebra of matrices

${ }^{\prime} A^{J}$ is an $I \times J$ matrix.

Two matrices of the same shape may be multiplied by a scalar (element of $R$ ) or added, to yield a matrix of the same shape.

An infinite sum almost all of whose terms are 0 is treated as well-defined.

Two matrices, $A^{J}$ and ${ }_{\jmath} B^{K}$ are compatible for multiplication if $A$ is row finite or if $B$ is column finite, or if more generally, for each $i \in I$ and each $k \in K, a_{i j} b_{j k}=0$ for almost all $j \in J$; in which case we use the usual definition of matrix multiplication.

## Systems of linear equations

Let $A$ be a row-finite $I \times J$ matrix over $R, \mathbf{x}$ a $J \times 1$ matrix (column vector) of variables, and $\mathbf{b}$ an $I \times 1$ column vector of elements from an $R$-module ${ }_{R} M$.

$$
A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}
$$

is a system of linear equations in $\mathbf{x}$ over $M$.

A solution $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$ is some $\mathbf{n}, a \mathrm{a} \times 1$ column vector in some module ${ }_{R} N \geq{ }_{R} M$, such that in $N, A \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{b}$.

## Solvability and Consistency

A system of linear equations over $M$ is solvable ("semantically consistent") if it has a solution in some extension of $M$,.
[First year linear algebra]

A $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$ is formally (syntactically) consistent if for all ${ }_{1} \mathbf{r}^{\prime}$ over $R$ which are 0 almost everywhere, $\mathbf{r} A=\mathbf{0}$ implies $\mathbf{r b}=0$.

## Theorem

A $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$ is solvable iff

$$
A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b} \text { is formally consistent. }
$$

## Injective modules

Amongst the many characterizations of injectivity:

## Theorem

A module ${ }_{R} E$ is injective iff
every formally consistent system of equations over $E$ has a solution in $E$.

Key point in the proof: using a system of equations to construct a homomorphism.

## Lecture 2

... I don't know my left hand from my right hand

## Property (A)

## Reference

Birge Zimmermann-Huisgen, Pure Submodules of direct products of free modules, Math. Ann. 224, 233-245 (1976).

## Property (A)

Let $P_{R}$ be a right $R$-module.
(A) For all column-finite matrices,$A^{J}$ over $R$ and all $I$-rows $\mathbf{m} \in P$ such that $\mathbf{m} A=0$, and all finite $I^{\prime} \subset I$,
there is a finite $k$-row $\mathbf{x} \in P$ and a matrix ${ }_{k} B^{\prime}$ over $R$ such that

$$
B A=0 \text { and } \mathbf{m}^{\prime \prime}=\mathbf{x} B^{\prime \prime} .
$$

## Recall: Flat modules

## Characterizations of flatness

The following are equivalent:
(1) $F_{R}$ is flat;
(2) For every finite $\mathbf{r} \in R$ and corresponding $\mathbf{x} \in F$ such that $\mathbf{x r}=0$, there is a (finite) matrix $A$ over $R$ and tuple $\mathbf{y} \in F$ such that $A \mathbf{r}=0$ and $\mathbf{y} \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{x}$.

## Recall: Local projectivity

## Definition

A module ${ }_{R} P$ is locally projective iff all diagrams

with the top row exact and $F$ finitely generated, can be completed as shown, so that $\operatorname{fg}^{\prime} i=g i$.

## Characterizations of Locally Projective Modules

## Theorem (B. Zimmermann-Huisgen)

The following are equivalent for a module $P_{R}$ :
(1) $P$ is locally projective;
(2) $P$ has Property $(A)$;
(3) For each element $m \in P$, there are $x_{1}, \ldots x_{n} \in P$ and homomorphisms $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}: P \rightarrow R_{R}$ such that $m=\sum_{j} x_{j} f_{j}(m)$;
(4) For each finite number of elements $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k} \in P$, there are $x_{1}, \ldots x_{n} \in P$ and homomorphisms $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}: P \rightarrow R_{R}$ such that for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$
m_{i}=\sum_{j} x_{j} f_{j}\left(m_{i}\right)
$$

## Tidying up 'Property (A)': quantifiers

As stated, Property (A) has quantifiers scattered in leading and trailing positions....

## Property (A), restated

$P_{R}$ has property (A) iff for all index sets $/$ and $J$, for all ${ }_{(I)} A^{J}$ over $R$,
for all $\mathbf{m}^{\prime} \in P$, for all finite $I^{\prime} \ll I$,
there are finite $K, \mathbf{x}^{K} \in P,{ }_{K} B^{\prime}$ over $R$, such that $B A=\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathbf{m}^{\prime \prime}=\mathbf{x} B^{\prime \prime}$.

## Tidying up 'Property (A)': families of properties

## Property (A), restated one more time

$P_{R}$ has property (A) iff
For all index sets $I$ and $J$ and all matrices ${ }_{(I)} A^{J}$ over $R$,
$P_{R}$ satisfies the following property $\left({ }_{(1)} A^{J}\right)$ :
$\left({ }_{(I)} A^{J}\right) \quad \forall \mathbf{m}^{\prime} \in P$, for all $I^{\prime} \ll I$,
there are finite $K, \mathbf{x}^{K} \in P,{ }_{k} B^{\prime}$ over $R$ with $B A=\mathcal{O}$ such that $\mathbf{m}^{\prime \prime}=\mathbf{x} B^{\prime \prime}$.

## Tidying up 'Property $(A)^{\prime}:\left({ }_{(1)} A^{J}\right)$

## Expressing $\left({ }_{(I)} A^{J}\right)$ as an infinitary implication

(1) $\left({ }_{(I)} A^{J}\right)$ is a property $Q(\bar{v})$ with variables $\bar{v}$ indexed by $I$ of $I$-tuples $\mathbf{m} \in P ;$
(2) "for all $I \ll l$ " is a conjunction of properties indexed by the finite subsets of $I$,
(3) the existential quantifiers can be written as disjunctions over certain index sets;
(4) in particular, the rows of $B$ all must be elements of the left annihilator $\mathcal{B}$ of $A$ :

$$
\mathcal{B}=\left\{\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{l}}: \mathbf{b} A=\mathcal{O}\right\}
$$

## Tidying up 'Property (A)': $\left({ }_{(1)} A^{J}\right)$ (continued)

$$
\left({ }_{(I)} A^{J}\right): \quad \forall \bar{v}^{\prime}\left[\bar{v} A=\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \bigwedge_{I^{\prime}<I} \bigvee_{k \in \omega} \bigvee_{\bar{x}^{k} \in P_{k} B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}} \bigvee\left(\bar{v}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} B^{\prime^{\prime}}\right)\right]
$$

The order of the disjunctions doesn't matter, so " $\bigvee_{\bar{x}} \in p$ " can return to an existential quantifier, and the other pair of disjunctions indexes a family closed upwards under sums, so we get:

$$
\left({ }_{(1)} A^{J}\right): \quad \forall \bar{v}^{\prime}\left[\bar{v} A=\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \bigwedge_{\prime^{\prime}<I_{k} B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}} \exists \bar{x}^{\kappa}\left(\bar{v}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} B^{\prime^{\prime}}\right)\right]
$$

This is the universal closure of an implication between two generalized infinitary positive primitive formulas in the sense of my current work with Rothmaler; and falls into the form of a sentence which has an elementary dual.
a bunch of general stuff about elementary duality.... October 20, 2020

## Recall Elementary Duality (very informal summary)

- Elementary duality is a lattice anti-isomorphism between the lattice of pp formulas in the language of left $R$-modules and the lattice of pp -formulas in the language of right $R$-modules (up to logical equivalence).
- Elementary duality provides a categorical equivalence at the level of Shelah's "Imaginary Universe" between the category of left $R$-modules and the category of right $R$-modules.
- As a consequence of the pp-elimination of quantifiers for modules, a natural way of axiomatizing theories of modules (complete or otherwise) is by families of pp-implications: the universal closures of formulas of the form $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$, where $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are pp formulas.
- The elementary dual theory is axiomatized by the implications $\mathrm{D} \psi \rightarrow \mathrm{D} \varphi$.


## Infinitary pp formulas

Fix an index set / for the (free) variables of infinitary pp formulas. In this context it is intended that $I$ be an infinite set.

- There are two ways of expanding a finitary pp-formula $\varphi(\bar{x})$ to an $I$-formula:
(1) $\varphi^{\mathrm{E}}=\varphi(\bar{x}) \wedge \wedge_{v \notin \bar{x}}(v=v), ~(v)$
- Finitary pp formulas are closed under conjunction and sum in a natural way; infinitary analogues are only closed under conjunction "naturally", so we introduce a new infinitary operator $\Sigma$ with semantics $M \models \Sigma_{j \in J} \varphi_{j}[\bar{a}]$ (where the $\varphi_{j}$ are infinitary pp formulas) iff $\bar{a} \in \Sigma_{j \in J} \varphi_{j}[M]$, the sum in the sense of sum of subgroups.


## Dualizable I-properties

Generalizations to the context of $I$-properties of results of Prest, Rothmaler and Ziegler.

## Theorem (Kucera-Rothmaler)

(1) A property $P$ of $I$-tuples in a module $M$ is dualizable iff it can be defined by an infinitary pp-formula of the form $\wedge_{J} \sum_{K_{j}} \varphi_{j k}^{\mathrm{E}}$ where each $\varphi_{j k}$ is an ordinary finitary pp formula in some variables indexed from $l$.
(2) A property $P$ of $I$-tuples in a module $M$ which are zero almost everywhere is dualizable iff it can be defined by an infinitary pp-formula of the form $\wedge_{J} \sum_{K_{j}} \varphi_{j k}^{\Omega}$ where each $\varphi_{j k}$ is an ordinary finitary pp formula in some variables indexed from $I$.

## Duals of dualizable I-properties

Generalizations to the context of $I$-properties of results of Prest, Rothmaler and Ziegler. Formulas as on the previous slide.

## Theorem (Kucera-Rothmaler)

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all choice functions on $\left\{K_{j}: j \in J\right\}$.
(1) $\mathrm{D}\left(\wedge_{J} \sum_{K_{j}} \varphi_{j k}^{\mathrm{E}}\right)=\sum_{J} \bigwedge_{K_{j}}\left(\mathrm{D} \varphi_{j k}\right)^{\Omega}=\bigwedge_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{j \in J}\left(\mathrm{D} \varphi_{j, f(j)}\right)^{\Omega}$.
(2) $\mathrm{D}\left(\bigwedge_{J} \sum_{K_{j}} \varphi_{j k}^{\Omega}\right)=\sum_{J} \bigwedge_{K_{j}}\left(\mathrm{D} \varphi_{j k}\right)^{\mathrm{E}}=\bigwedge_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{j \in J}\left(\mathrm{D} \varphi_{j, f(j)}\right)^{\mathrm{E}}$

## Reminder: Axiomatiation of Property (A)

$P_{R}$ has property (A) iff for all index sets $/$ and $J$ and all matrices ${ }_{(I)} A^{J}$ over $R$,

$$
\left({ }_{(I)} A^{J}\right): \quad \forall \bar{v}^{\prime}\left[\bar{v} A=\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \bigwedge_{\prime^{\prime}<I_{k} B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}} \exists \bar{x}^{\kappa}\left(\bar{v}^{\prime}=\bar{x} B^{\prime^{\prime}}\right)\right]
$$

## Dual of $\bar{v} A=\mathcal{O}$

- $\bar{v} A=\mathcal{O}$ is equivalent to $\bigwedge_{j \in J} \bar{v} A^{j}=0$.

Since $A$ is column finite, $\bar{v} A^{j}$ is just an ordinary finite linear combination, which makes no assertion about the value of any $v_{i}$, $i$ not in the support of $A^{j}=I_{j} \ll I$.

- $\bar{v} A^{j}=0$ is thus essentially $\left(\left(\bar{v}^{l_{j}}\right)\left(\iota_{j} A^{j}\right)=0\right)^{\mathrm{E}}$.
- The dual of this formula is $\left[\exists w\left({ }_{\iota_{j}} \bar{v}={\iota_{j}} A^{j} w\right)\right]^{\Omega}$.
- The dual of $\bar{v} A=\mathcal{O}$ is

$$
\sum_{j \in J} \exists w\left(\bar{v}=A^{j} w\right)
$$

- The solution set in a left module $N$ (that is, as a subset of $\left.N^{(I)}\right)$ is $A N^{(J)}$.


## Dual of $\bigwedge_{r \ll 1} \sum_{k} B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B} \cdot \bar{x}^{k}\left(\bar{v}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} B^{\prime^{\prime}}\right)$

- $\exists \bar{x}^{\kappa}\left(\bar{v}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} B^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is understood as $\left[\exists \bar{x}^{\kappa}\left(\bar{v}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} B^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{E}}$.
- This has dual $\left[{ }_{k} B^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\mu} \bar{v}=0\right]^{\Omega}$


## Dual of $\bigwedge_{r \ll 1} \sum_{k} B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B} \cdot \bar{x}^{k}\left(\bar{v}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} B^{\prime^{\prime}}\right)$

- $\exists \bar{x}^{k}\left(\bar{v}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} B^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is understood as $\left[\exists \bar{x}^{k}\left(\bar{v}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} B^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{E}}$.
- This has dual $\left[{ }_{k} B^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\mu} \bar{v}=0\right]^{\Omega}$
- The dual of the whole formula is then $\sum_{l^{\prime} \ll I} \Lambda_{k} B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}\left[{ }_{k} B^{l^{\prime}}{ }_{\prime} \bar{\nabla}=0\right]^{\Omega}$


## Dual of $\bigwedge_{r^{\prime} \ll 1} \sum_{k} B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B} \cdot \bar{x}^{k}\left(\bar{v}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} B^{\prime \prime}\right)$

- $\exists \bar{x}^{\kappa}\left(\bar{v}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} B^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is understood as $\left[\exists \bar{x}^{\kappa}\left(\bar{v}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} B^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{E}}$.
- This has dual $\left[{ }_{k} B^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\mu} \bar{v}=0\right]^{\Omega}$
- The dual of the whole formula is then $\sum_{\prime^{\prime} \ll 1} \wedge_{k} B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}\left[{ }_{k} B^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\mu} \bar{V}=0\right]^{\Omega}$
- The conjunction can be evaluated "one row at a time" so is equivalent to $\wedge_{\left\{, \bar{b}^{\prime}: \bar{b} A=0\right\}}\left(\bar{b}^{\prime \prime}, \overline{\bar{V}}=0\right)^{\Omega}$


## Dual of $\bigwedge_{r^{\ll 1}} \sum_{k} B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B} ~ \exists \bar{x}^{k}\left(\bar{v}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} B^{\prime \prime}\right)$

- $\exists \bar{x}^{\kappa}\left(\bar{v}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} B^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is understood as $\left[\exists \bar{x}^{\kappa}\left(\bar{v}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} B^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{E}}$.
- This has dual $\left[{ }_{k} B^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\mu} \bar{v}=0\right]^{\Omega}$
- The dual of the whole formula is then $\sum_{\prime^{\prime} \ll 1} \wedge_{k} B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}\left[{ }_{k} B^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\mu} \bar{V}=0\right]^{\Omega}$
- The conjunction can be evaluated "one row at a time" so is equivalent to $\wedge_{\left\{, \bar{b}^{\prime}: \bar{b} A=0\right\}}\left(\bar{b}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\mu} \overline{\bar{v}}=0\right)^{\Omega}$
- Then, taking the sum over all finite subsets of $I$, and noting that $\mathcal{B}$, the left annihilator of $A$, can be re-interpreted as a giant $l$-columned matrix:
- the dual statement simplifies to $\mathcal{B} \bar{v}=\mathcal{O}$ with $\bar{v}$ restricted to taking on values which are 0 almost everywhere.


## Axiomatization of 'Dual (A)'

For all column finite , $A^{J}$ over $R$, and $\mathcal{B}$ the left annihilator subspace of $A$, interpreted as an $L \times I$ matrix for some index set $L$,

$$
\mathcal{B} \bar{v}=0 \Longrightarrow \exists \bar{w} A \bar{w}=\bar{v}
$$

where the vectors of variables have shapes ${ }_{(I)} \bar{v}^{1}$ and ${ }_{(J)} \bar{w}^{1}$.

## Axiomatization of 'Dual (A)'

For all column finite,$A^{J}$ over $R$, and $\mathcal{B}$ the left annihilator subspace of $A$, interpreted as an $L \times I$ matrix for some index set $L$,

$$
\mathcal{B} \bar{v}=0 \Longrightarrow \exists \bar{w} A \bar{w}=\bar{v}
$$

where the vectors of variables have shapes ${ }_{(I)} \bar{v}^{1}$ and ${ }_{(J)} \bar{w}^{1}$.

If $A$ were row-finite and $\bar{v}, \bar{w}$, unrestricted, this would just be injectivity.

## Axiomatization of 'Dual (A)' [Refresh: November 24]

The previous slide neglected to mention that 'Dual (A)' is a property of a particular left $R$-module $E$ : it said nothing about where the truth of the formulas displayed is evaluated.

## 'Dual (A)', revised

A left $R$-module $E$ satisfies 'Dual (A)' iff for all column finite ${ }^{\prime} A^{J}$ over $R$, and $\mathcal{B}$ the left annihilator subspace of $A$, interpreted as an $L \times I$ matrix for some index set $L$, and for all finite $I^{\prime} \ll I$ and all ${ }_{\mu} \bar{b}^{1} \in E$,

$$
\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime} \bar{b}=0 \Longrightarrow E \models \exists \bar{w} A \bar{w}=\bar{b}
$$

where the existential quantifier is taken in the weak sense: the solution $\bar{w}$ must have finite support.

## Axiomatization of ‘Dual (A)' [Refresh: November 24]

The previous slide neglected to mention that 'Dual (A)' is a property of a particular left $R$-module $E$ : it said nothing about where the truth of the formulas displayed is evaluated.

## 'Dual (A)', revised

A left $R$-module $E$ satisfies 'Dual (A)' iff for all column finite ${ }_{ן} A^{J}$ over $R$, and $\mathcal{B}$ the left annihilator subspace of $A$, interpreted as an $L \times I$ matrix for some index set $L$, and for all finite $I^{\prime} \ll I$ and all ${ }_{\mu} \bar{b}^{1} \in E$,

$$
\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime} \bar{b}=0 \Longrightarrow E \models \exists \bar{w} A \bar{w}=\bar{b}
$$

where the existential quantifier is taken in the weak sense: the solution $\bar{w}$ must have finite support.

This looks almost like the condition for injectivity, but quite skewed:

## Review of injectivity

## Characterization of injectivity

$E$ is injective iff for all row finite matrices,$A^{J}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ the left annihilator matrix of $A$ ( $\mathcal{B}$ is also necessarily row finite), and all $\bar{b}^{1} \in E$,

$$
\mathcal{B} \bar{b}=0 \Longrightarrow E \models \exists \bar{w} A \bar{w}=\bar{b},
$$

where the existential quantifier is taken in the strong sense: the solution $\bar{w}$ is in ${ }_{J} E^{1}$.

## Review of injectivity: construction of the homomorphism



Let $\bar{a}$ enumerate $N$, let $A \bar{x}=\bar{b}$ be the system of all linear equations with constants in $M$ satisfied by $\bar{a}$.
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Since this system is by definition satisfiable, it is formally consistent; and therefore so is its homomorphic image $A \bar{x}=g(\bar{b})$.

## Review of injectivity: construction of the homomorphism



Let $\bar{a}$ enumerate $N$, let $A \bar{x}=\bar{b}$ be the system of all linear equations with constants in $M$ satisfied by $\bar{a}$.

Since this system is by definition satisfiable, it is formally consistent; and therefore so is its homomorphic image $A \bar{x}=g(\bar{b})$.

So this system has a solution $\bar{b}^{\prime}$ in $E$,

## Review of injectivity: construction of the homomorphism



Let $\bar{a}$ enumerate $N$, let $A \bar{x}=\bar{b}$ be the system of all linear equations with constants in $M$ satisfied by $\bar{a}$.

Since this system is by definition satisfiable, it is formally consistent; and therefore so is its homomorphic image $A \bar{x}=g(\bar{b})$.

So this system has a solution $\bar{b}^{\prime}$ in $E$, and the $\operatorname{map} \bar{b} \mapsto \bar{b}^{\prime}$ is clearly a homomorphism $g^{\prime}$ extending $g$.

## How did we get the axiomatization of Property A?

... by a lot of

simplification generalization combination

## How did we get the axiomatization of Property A?

... by a lot of

simplification generalization<br>combination

So we probably have to re-entangle and complexify "Dual (A)" to interpret it algebraically.

## Expanding Dual (A)

## 'Dual (A)', revised

A left $R$-module $E$ satisfies 'Dual (A)' iff for all column finite ${ }^{\prime} A^{J}$ over $R$, and $\mathcal{B}$ the left annihilator subspace of $A$, interpreted as an $L \times I$ matrix for some index set $L$, and for all finite $I^{\prime} \ll I$ and all ${ }_{\mu} \bar{b}^{1} \in E$,

$$
\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \bar{b}=0 \Longrightarrow E \models \exists \bar{w} A \bar{w}=\bar{b}
$$

where the existential quantifier is taken in the weak sense: the solution $\bar{w}$ must have finite support.

Deconstruct the parts; decorate everything with their index sets:

## Expanding Dual (A), continued

## 'Dual (A)', deconstructed

A left $R$-module $E$ satisfies 'Dual (A)' iff for all column finite,$A^{J}$ over $R$, and for $\mathcal{B}$ the left annihilator subspace of $A$, (interpreted as an $L \times I$ matrix for some index set $L$ ):
for all finite $I^{\prime} \ll I$ and all $I^{1} \bar{b}^{1} \in E$,

$$
\angle \mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{r} \bar{b}^{1}=\angle 0^{1} \text { implies that: }
$$ there is finite $J^{\prime} \ll J$ and ${ }_{J^{\prime}} \bar{C}^{1} \in E$ such that ${ }_{\mu} A^{J^{\prime}}{ }_{\mu} \bar{C}^{1}={ }_{\mu} \bar{b}^{1}$.

and then since $\mathcal{B}$ is column finite, we can then restrict to a finite subset $L^{\prime} \ll L$.

## Categorical dual???

## locally projective


$F$ finitely generated.

## dually locally projective


$E / F$ finitely generated.

## Expanding Dual (A), continued

So what really is the relationship of the finite matrix ${L^{\prime}}^{\mathcal{B}^{\prime}}$ to $A$ ?

## Expanding Dual (A), continued

So what really is the relationship of the finite matrix $L^{\prime} \mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}$ to $A$ ?

Or do we need to 'deconstruct' A?
What role does $A$ play in the characterization, as a possibly-infinite-in-both-dimensions column-finite matrix?

