

A functional-analytic proof of the conformal welding theorem

Eric Schippers¹ Wolfgang Staubach²

¹Department of Mathematics
University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, Canada

²Department of Mathematics
Uppsala Universitet
Uppsala, Sweden

CMS Winter Meeting 2012

Conformal welding theorem

Definition

A quasiconformal map $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ between open connected domains A and B in \mathbb{C} is a homeomorphism such that

- 1 ϕ is absolutely continuous on almost every vertical and horizontal line in every closed rectangle $[a, b] \times [c, d] \subseteq A$

- 2

$$\left\| \frac{\bar{\partial} f}{\partial f} \right\|_{\infty} \leq k$$

for some fixed $k < 1$.

Conformal welding theorem

Definition

A quasiconformal map $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ between open connected domains A and B in \mathbb{C} is a homeomorphism such that

- 1 ϕ is absolutely continuous on almost every vertical and horizontal line in every closed rectangle $[a, b] \times [c, d] \subseteq A$

- 2

$$\left\| \frac{\bar{\partial} f}{\partial f} \right\|_{\infty} \leq k$$

for some fixed $k < 1$.

Definition

A quasisymmetric map $\phi : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ is a homeomorphism which is the boundary values of some quasiconformal map $H : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$.

Conformal welding theorem

Theorem (Conformal welding theorem)

Let $\phi : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be a quasimetric map and let $\alpha > 0$. There is a pair of maps $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $g : \mathbb{D}^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

- 1 f is one-to-one and holomorphic, and has a quasiconformal extension to $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$
- 2 g is one-to-one and holomorphic except for a simple pole at ∞ , and has a quasiconformal extension to $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$
- 3 $f(0) = 0$, $g(\infty) = \infty$ and $g'(\infty) = \alpha$.
- 4 $\phi = g^{-1} \circ f$ on S^1 .

Conformal welding theorem

Theorem (Conformal welding theorem)

Let $\phi : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be a quasimetric map and let $\alpha > 0$. There is a pair of maps $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $g : \mathbb{D}^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

- 1 f is one-to-one and holomorphic, and has a quasiconformal extension to $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$
- 2 g is one-to-one and holomorphic except for a simple pole at ∞ , and has a quasiconformal extension to $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$
- 3 $f(0) = 0$, $g(\infty) = \infty$ and $g'(\infty) = \alpha$.
- 4 $\phi = g^{-1} \circ f$ on S^1 .

- standard proof uses existence and uniqueness to solutions of the Beltrami equation.
- We will give another proof using symplectic geometry and Grunsky inequalities.

The function spaces \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}_*

Let \mathcal{H} denote the space of L^2 functions h on S^1 such that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n| |\hat{h}(n)|^2 < \infty.$$

Define

$$\|h\|^2 = |\hat{h}(0)|^2 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n| |\hat{h}(n)|^2.$$

The function spaces \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}_*

Let \mathcal{H} denote the space of L^2 functions h on S^1 such that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n| |\hat{h}(n)|^2 < \infty.$$

Define

$$\|h\|^2 = |\hat{h}(0)|^2 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n| |\hat{h}(n)|^2.$$

We will also consider

$$\mathcal{H}_* = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \hat{h}(0) = 0\}$$

with norm

$$\|h\|_*^2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n| |\hat{h}(n)|^2.$$

Decomposition of \mathcal{H}_*

$$\mathcal{H}_+ = \{h \in \mathcal{H}_* : h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e^{in\theta}\}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_- = \{h \in \mathcal{H}_* : h = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} h_n e^{in\theta}\}.$$

Decomposition of \mathcal{H}_*

$$\mathcal{H}_+ = \{h \in \mathcal{H}_* : h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n e^{in\theta}\}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_- = \{h \in \mathcal{H}_* : h = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} h_n e^{in\theta}\}.$$

It is well-known that we have the following isometries

$$\mathcal{H}_+ \cong \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D}) = \{h : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \iint_{\mathbb{D}} |h'|^2 dA < \infty \quad h(0) = 0\}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_- \cong \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D}^*) = \{h : \mathbb{D}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \iint_{\mathbb{D}^*} |h'|^2 dA < \infty \quad h(\infty) = 0\}$$

Summarized in Nag and Sullivan.

Composition operators on \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}_*

We consider two composition operators

$$C_\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \quad C_\phi h = h \circ \phi$$

$$\hat{C}_\phi : \mathcal{H}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_* \quad \hat{C}_\phi h = h \circ \phi - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} h \circ \phi(e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

Composition operators on \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}_*

We consider two composition operators

$$C_\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \quad C_\phi h = h \circ \phi$$

$$\hat{C}_\phi : \mathcal{H}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_* \quad \hat{C}_\phi h = h \circ \phi - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} h \circ \phi(e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

Theorem (Nag and Sullivan, quoting notes of Zinsmeister)

\hat{C}_ϕ is bounded if ϕ is a quasiconformality.

Theorem (S and Staubach)

If ϕ is a quasiconformality then C_ϕ is bounded.

Sketch of a new proof

Treat ϕ as a composition operator C_ϕ on \mathcal{H} : we want to solve for unknown functions f and g in equation $f \circ \phi^{-1} = g$, $g_{-1} = \alpha$.

Sketch of a new proof

Treat ϕ as a composition operator C_ϕ on \mathcal{H} : we want to solve for unknown functions f and g in equation $f \circ \phi^{-1} = g$, $g_{-1} = \alpha$.

Using the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = [\mathcal{H}_+] \oplus [\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{H}_-]$, the welding equation can be written

$$C_\phi f = \begin{pmatrix} M_{++} & M_{+-} \\ M_{-+} & M_{--} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_+ \\ g_- \end{pmatrix}$$

so

Sketch of a new proof

Treat ϕ as a composition operator C_ϕ on \mathcal{H} : we want to solve for unknown functions f and g in equation $f \circ \phi^{-1} = g$, $g_{-1} = \alpha$.

Using the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = [\mathcal{H}_+] \oplus [\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{H}_-]$, the welding equation can be written

$$C_\phi f = \begin{pmatrix} M_{++} & M_{+-} \\ M_{-+} & M_{--} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_+ \\ g_- \end{pmatrix}$$

so

$$M_{++}f = g_+ \quad \text{and} \quad M_{+-}f = g_-.$$

where $g_+ = g_{-1}z = \alpha z$ and $g_- = g_0 + g_1/z + g_2/z^2 + \dots$.

Sketch of a new proof

Treat ϕ as a composition operator C_ϕ on \mathcal{H} : we want to solve for unknown functions f and g in equation $f \circ \phi^{-1} = g$, $g_{-1} = \alpha$.

Using the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = [\mathcal{H}_+] \oplus [\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{H}_-]$, the welding equation can be written

$$C_\phi f = \begin{pmatrix} M_{++} & M_{+-} \\ M_{-+} & M_{--} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_+ \\ g_- \end{pmatrix}$$

so

$$M_{++}f = g_+ \quad \text{and} \quad M_{+-}f = g_-.$$

where $g_+ = g_{-1}z = \alpha z$ and $g_- = g_0 + g_1/z + g_2/z^2 + \dots$.

which leads to the solution

$$f = M_{++}^{-1}g_+ \quad g_- = M_{+-}f.$$

What are the gaps?

We need to show that

- M_{++} is invertible: will use symplectic geometry and results of Nag and Sullivan, Takhtajan and Teo.
- The solutions in \mathcal{H} so obtained have the desired properties: conformal with quasiconformal extensions: will use Grunsky inequalities.

What are the gaps?

We need to show that

- M_{++} is invertible: will use symplectic geometry and results of Nag and Sullivan, Takhtajan and Teo.
- The solutions in \mathcal{H} so obtained have the desired properties: conformal with quasiconformal extensions: will use Grunsky inequalities.

Here we go!

Symplectic structure on \mathcal{H}_*

For $f, g \in \mathcal{H}_*$ let

$$\omega(f, g) = -i \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_n g_{-n}.$$

If one restricts to the real subspace (such that $\hat{f}(-n) = \overline{\hat{f}(n)}$) this is a non-degenerate anti-symmetric form $2\text{Im} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\hat{f}(n)} \hat{g}(n) \right)$.

Symplectic structure on \mathcal{H}_*

For $f, g \in \mathcal{H}_*$ let

$$\omega(f, g) = -i \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_n g_{-n}.$$

If one restricts to the real subspace (such that $\hat{f}(-n) = \overline{\hat{f}(n)}$) this is a non-degenerate anti-symmetric form $2\text{Im} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\hat{f}(n)} \hat{g}(n) \right)$.

Theorem (Nag and Sullivan)

If $\phi : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ is quasymmetric then \hat{C}_ϕ is a symplectomorphism (that is, $\omega(\hat{C}_\phi f, \hat{C}_\phi g) = \omega(f, g)$).

Note that \hat{C}_ϕ has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \overline{B} & \overline{A} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The infinite Siegel disc (Nag and Sullivan)

Definition

The infinite Siegel disc \mathfrak{G} is the set of maps $Z : \mathcal{H}_- \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_+$ such that $Z^T = Z$ and $I - Z\bar{Z}$ is positive definite.

The infinite Siegel disc (Nag and Sullivan)

Definition

The infinite Siegel disc \mathfrak{S} is the set of maps $Z : \mathcal{H}_- \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_+$ such that $Z^T = Z$ and $I - Z\bar{Z}$ is positive definite.

Context:

- the graph of each Z is a Lagrangian subspaces of \mathcal{H}_*
- symplectomorphisms \hat{C}_ϕ act on them.

Definition

Let \mathcal{L} be the set of bounded linear maps of the form

$$(P, Q) : \mathcal{H}_- \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_*$$

where $P : \mathcal{H}_- \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_+$ and $Q : \mathcal{H}_- \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_-$ are bounded operators satisfying $\bar{P}^T P - \bar{Q}^T Q > 0$ and $Q^T P = P^T Q$.

Two facts

- Q invertible $\Rightarrow PQ^{-1} \in \mathcal{G} \Leftrightarrow (P, Q) \in \mathcal{L}$.
- $(P, Q)Q^{-1} = (PQ^{-1}, I)$ has the same image as (P, Q)

Invariance of \mathcal{L}

\mathcal{L} is invariant under bounded symplectomorphisms.

Proposition

If Ψ is a bounded symplectomorphism which preserves $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$, then*

$$\Psi \left(\begin{array}{c} P \\ Q \end{array} \right) \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Invertibility

Proposition

If $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{L}$ then Q has a left inverse.

Invertibility

Proposition

If $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{L}$ then Q has a left inverse.

Proof.

If $Q\mathbf{v} = 0$ then by the positive-definiteness of $\overline{Q}^T Q - \overline{P}^T P$

$$0 \leq \overline{\mathbf{v}}^T \left(\overline{Q}^T Q - \overline{P}^T P \right) \mathbf{v} = -\overline{\mathbf{v}}^T \overline{P}^T P \mathbf{v} = -\|P\mathbf{v}\|^2.$$

Thus $P\mathbf{v} = 0$. This implies that $\overline{\mathbf{v}}^T \left(\overline{Q}^T Q - \overline{P}^T P \right) \mathbf{v} = 0$ so $\mathbf{v} = 0$.

Thus Q is injective, or equivalently Q has a left inverse. □

Invertibility of A

Note that $A = M_{++}$, the matrix we needed to show was invertible.

Theorem (S, Staubach)

Let $\phi : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be a quasisymmetry, with

$$\hat{C}_{\phi^{-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then A is invertible and $Z = B\bar{A}^{-1} \in \mathbb{G}$.

Invertibility of A

Note that $A = M_{++}$, the matrix we needed to show was invertible.

Theorem (S, Staubach)

Let $\phi : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be a quasimetry, with

$$\hat{C}_{\phi^{-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then A is invertible and $Z = B\bar{A}^{-1} \in \mathbb{G}$.

Note: This theorem was proven originally by Takhtajan and Teo. However their proof uses the conformal welding theorem, so we must provide a new one.

Proof

Proof: Invertibility of A :

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B \\ \bar{A} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}.$$

So \bar{A} has a left inverse.

Proof

Proof: Invertibility of A :

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B \\ \bar{A} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}.$$

So \bar{A} has a left inverse.

Apply to ϕ^{-1} (also a quasisymmetry)

$$\hat{C}_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}^T & -B^T \\ -\bar{B}^T & A^T \end{pmatrix}.$$

So \bar{A}^T has a left inverse; thus A is a bounded bijection so it is invertible.

Proof continued

Let $Z = B\bar{A}^{-1}$.

Proof continued

Let $Z = B\bar{A}^{-1}$.

Recall:

$$(B, \bar{A}) \in \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow B\bar{A}^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Definition of Grunsky matrix

Let

$$g(z) = g_{-1}z + g_0 + g_1z + g_2z^2 + \cdots .$$

The Grunsky matrix b_{mn} of g is defined by

$$\log \frac{g(z) - g(w)}{z - w} = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} b_{mn} z^m w^n .$$

Grunsky matrix and welding maps

Theorem (Takhtajan and Teo)

Let $f(z) = f_1 z + f_2 z^2 + \dots \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$ and $g = g_{-1} z + g_-$ where $g_- \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D}^*)$, and let $\phi : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ be a quasisymmetry. Assume that $g \circ \phi = f$ on \mathbb{S}^1 . Let

$$\hat{C}_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{A} & \mathfrak{B} \\ \overline{\mathfrak{B}} & \overline{\mathfrak{A}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{C}_{\phi^{-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \overline{B} & \overline{A} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

- 1 If $g_{-1} \neq 0$, then the Grunsky matrix of g is \overline{BA}^{-1} .
- 2 If $f_1 \neq 0$, then the Grunsky matrix of f is $\overline{\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{A}}^{-1}$.

Grunsky matrix and welding maps

Theorem (Takhtajan and Teo)

Let $f(z) = f_1 z + f_2 z^2 + \dots \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D})$ and $g = g_{-1} z + g_-$ where $g_- \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{D}^*)$, and let $\phi : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ be a quasisymmetry. Assume that $g \circ \phi = f$ on \mathbb{S}^1 . Let

$$\hat{C}_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{A} & \mathfrak{B} \\ \overline{\mathfrak{B}} & \overline{\mathfrak{A}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{C}_{\phi^{-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \overline{B} & \overline{A} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

- 1 If $g_{-1} \neq 0$, then the Grunsky matrix of g is \overline{BA}^{-1} .
- 2 If $f_1 \neq 0$, then the Grunsky matrix of f is $\overline{\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{A}}^{-1}$.

Note: Their statement assumes that f and g are the maps in the conformal welding theorem. However their *proof* only uses the assumptions above and invertibility of g .

Recap: proof of conformal welding theorem

Proof:

(1) For a quasisymmetry ϕ .

$$\hat{C}_{\phi^{-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix}$$

A is invertible.

Recap: proof of conformal welding theorem

Proof:

(1) For a quasisymmetry ϕ .

$$\hat{C}_{\phi^{-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ \bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{pmatrix}$$

A is invertible.

(2) We may find $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $f \circ \phi^{-1} = g$ using

$$C_{\phi^{-1}} f = \begin{pmatrix} M_{++} & M_{+-} \\ M_{-+} & M_{--} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_+ \\ g_- \end{pmatrix}$$

where $g_+ = g_{-1}z = \alpha z$ and $g_- = g_0 + g_1/z + g_2/z^2 + \dots$ which has the solution

$$f = M_{++}^{-1} g_+ \quad g_- = M_{+-} f.$$

Note that $M_{++} = A$.

Proof continued

(3) $B\bar{A}^{-1}$ is the Grunsky matrix of g under these assumptions, by the theorem of Takhtajan and Teo.

Proof continued

(3) $B\bar{A}^{-1}$ is the Grunsky matrix of g under these assumptions, by the theorem of Takhtajan and Teo.

(4) $Z = B\bar{A}^{-1}$ satisfies $I - Z\bar{Z}$ is positive definite since $Z \in \mathfrak{G}$. Thus $\|Z\| \leq k < 1$ some k .

Proof continued

(3) $B\bar{A}^{-1}$ is the Grunsky matrix of g under these assumptions, by the theorem of Takhtajan and Teo.

(4) $Z = B\bar{A}^{-1}$ satisfies $I - Z\bar{Z}$ is positive definite since $Z \in \mathfrak{G}$. Thus $\|Z\| \leq k < 1$ some k .

(5) By a classical theorem of Pommerenke if $\|Z\| \leq k < 1$ then g is univalent and quasiconformally extendible. A bit of work shows the same for f .

References

- 1 Lehto, Olli. *Univalent functions and Teichmüller spaces*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **109** Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- 2 Nag, Subhashis; Sullivan, Dennis. *Teichmüller theory and the universal period mapping via quantum calculus and the $H^{1/2}$ space on the circle*. Osaka Journal of Mathematics **32** (1995), no. 1, 1–34.
- 3 Pommerenke, Christian *Univalent functions*. Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen (1975).
- 4 Tatkhan, Leon A. and Teo, Lee-Peng. *Weil-Petersson metric on the universal Teichmüller space*. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society **183** (2006), no. 861.
- 5 Vaisman, Izu. *Symplectic geometry and secondary characteristic classes*. Progress in Mathematics, 72. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1987.