

Question 19, page 190 text.

(a) If  $\det(A) \neq 0$  then  $\det(\text{Adj}A) \neq 0$  (proven in class)

(b) Proving that  $\det(\text{Adj}A) \neq 0$  implies  $\det(A) \neq 0$ .

Assume  $\det(\text{Adj}A) \neq 0$ . We now show that under that assumption we could not have  $\det(A) = 0$ . So, suppose  $\det(A) = 0$ . If  $A$  is the zero matrix, then  $\text{Adj}(A)$  is also the zero matrix and we get a contradiction. So, assume  $A$  is not the zero matrix. Since  $\det(A) = 0$  (after using “expansion along the first row of  $A$ ” for  $\det(A)$ ), we have:

$$a_{11}(-1)^{1+1} \det(A_{11}) + a_{12}(-1)^{1+2} \det(A_{12}) + \dots + a_{1n}(-1)^{1+n} \det(A_{1n}) = 0.$$

Now replace the second row of  $A$  with the first row of  $A$  to get a new matrix  $B(2)$  (just replace – no interchanging of rows). This new matrix has the first row equal to the second row; so its determinant is also 0. We expand along the second row to conclude that

$$a_{11}(-1)^{2+1} \det(A_{21}) + a_{12}(-1)^{2+2} \det(A_{22}) + \dots + a_{1n}(-1)^{2+n} \det(A_{2n}) = 0$$

(Note that I’ve been using the terms in the second row of  $B(2)$ ; these are not the same as the entries in the second row in  $A$ . However, the associated cofactors of  $A$  and  $B(2)$  are the same since  $A$  and  $B(2)$  differ only in the second row which is the one that is deleted to get the cofactors.)

Now start again with  $A$  and replace the third row with the first row to get a new matrix  $B(3)$ . Since  $B(3)$  has two equal rows its determinant is 0. Expanding along the third row we get

$$a_{11}(-1)^{3+1} \det(A_{31}) + a_{12}(-1)^{3+2} \det(A_{32}) + \dots + a_{1n}(-1)^{3+n} \det(A_{3n}) = 0.$$

Keep doing this to get  $B(4)$ ,  $B(5)$ , ...,  $B(n)$ . The last step ( $B(n)$ ) will yield

$$a_{11}(-1)^{n+1} \det(A_{n1}) + a_{12}(-1)^{n+2} \det(A_{n2}) + \dots + a_{1n}(-1)^{n+n} \det(A_{nn}) = 0.$$

Let’s gather all these in the following set of equations (call it (\*)):

$$a_{11}(-1)^{1+1} \det(A_{11}) + a_{12}(-1)^{1+2} \det(A_{12}) + \dots + a_{1n}(-1)^{1+n} \det(A_{1n}) = 0$$

$$a_{11}(-1)^{2+1} \det(A_{21}) + a_{12}(-1)^{2+2} \det(A_{22}) + \dots + a_{1n}(-1)^{2+n} \det(A_{2n}) = 0$$

$$a_{11}(-1)^{3+1} \det(A_{31}) + a_{12}(-1)^{3+2} \det(A_{32}) + \dots + a_{1n}(-1)^{3+n} \det(A_{3n}) = 0$$

⋮

$$a_{11}(-1)^{n+1} \det(A_{n1}) + a_{12}(-1)^{n+2} \det(A_{n2}) + \dots + a_{1n}(-1)^{n+n} \det(A_{nn}) = 0.$$

Let us look at this from another perspective. Consider the system

$$x_1(-1)^{1+1} \det(A_{11}) + x_2(-1)^{1+2} \det(A_{12}) + \dots + x_n(-1)^{1+n} \det(A_{1n}) = 0$$

$$x_1(-1)^{2+1} \det(A_{21}) + x_2(-1)^{2+2} \det(A_{22}) + \dots + x_n(-1)^{2+n} \det(A_{2n}) = 0$$

$$x_1(-1)^{3+1} \det(A_{31}) + x_2(-1)^{3+2} \det(A_{32}) + \dots + x_n(-1)^{3+n} \det(A_{3n}) = 0$$

⋮

$$x_1(-1)^{n+1} \det(A_{n1}) + x_2(-1)^{n+2} \det(A_{n2}) + \dots + x_n(-1)^{n+n} \det(A_{nn}) = 0.$$

It is a homogeneous system and the coefficient matrix of that system is apparently  $\text{Adj}(A)$ . Since  $A$  is not the zero matrix, (\*) tells us that the system has a non-zero solution. This could happen only if the coefficient matrix is not invertible, i.e., if it has a determinant equal to 0 (theory of systems). So  $\text{Adj}(A) = 0$  (contradiction).