

# A short remark regarding Pohozaev type results on general domains assuming finite Morse index

Craig Cowan

*Department of Mathematics*

*University of Manitoba*

*Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N2*

*Craig.Cowan@umanitoba.ca*

December 5, 2015

## Abstract

We are interested in nonnegative nontrivial solutions of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $1 < p$  and  $\Omega$  a bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $3 \leq N \leq 9$ . We show that given a nonnegative integer  $M$  there is some large  $p(M, \Omega)$  such that the only nonnegative solution  $u$ , of Morse index at most  $M$ , is  $u = 0$ .

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.*

*Key words:* (key words) .

## 1 Introduction and results

We are interested in the non-existence of positive classical solutions of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where  $p > 1$  and  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  where  $N \geq 3$ .

Define the critical exponent  $p_s = \frac{N+2}{N-2}$  and note that it is related to the critical Sobolev imbedding exponent  $2^* := \frac{2N}{N-2} = p_s + 1$ . For  $1 < p < p_s$  one has that  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  is compactly imbedded in  $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$  and hence one can show the existence of a positive minimizer of

$$\min_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}}}.$$

This positive minimizer is a positive solution of (2), see for instance the book [18]. For  $p \geq p_s$   $H_0^1(\Omega)$  is no longer compactly imbedded in  $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$  and so to find positive solutions of (2) one needs to take other approaches. For  $p \geq p_s$  the well known Pohozaev identity [15] shows there are no positive solutions of (2) provided  $\Omega$  is star shaped. For general domains in the critical/supercritical case,  $p \geq p_s$ , the existence versus nonexistence of positive solutions of (2) is a very delicate question; see [2, 8, 7, 6, 5, 9, 14, 12, 13, 16, 17].

The question we address is a nonexistence result of positive solutions whose Morse index satisfies a certain bound. Before we state our result we define the Morse index of a smooth solution of (2).

**Definition 1.** Suppose  $u$  is a nonnegative smooth solution of (2). Define the linear operator  $L_u(\phi) := -\Delta\phi - pu^{p-1}\phi$  on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . We define the Morse index of  $u$ , written  $MI(u)$ , to be the number of negative eigenvalues, counting multiplicity, of  $L_u$ .

We will also need to discuss the Morse index of a solution defined on the full space.

**Definition 2.** Given a solution  $v$  of  $-\Delta v = f(v)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  define the associated energy

$$I(\psi) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \psi|^2 - f'(v)\psi^2 dx.$$

We define the Morse index of  $v$  to be the supremum over the dimensions of the subspaces  $X \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $I(\psi) < 0$  for all  $\psi \in X \setminus \{0\}$ .

These two notions are intimately connected and on a bounded domain the definitions are equivalent. Before stating our result we mention two more works. The first is [11]. In this work the author obtains many Liouville results related to solutions which are *stable outside a compact set*. In particular, after

a blow up argument, the author obtains a regularity result for (2). In the case of dimensions relevant to us ( $3 \leq N \leq 10$ ) and  $\frac{N+2}{N-2} < p < \infty$  it is shown that a sequence of smooth solutions  $\{u_m\}_m$  of (2) is uniformly bounded if and only if their Morse indices are uniformly bounded.

We now state our result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  denote any smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $3 \leq N \leq 9$ . Suppose  $M$  is some positive integer. Then there is some large exponent  $p = p(M, \Omega)$  such that the only nonnegative solution of (2) for  $p \geq p(M, \Omega)$  with  $MI(u) \leq M$  is  $u = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the theorem is not true and hence there is some  $p_m \rightarrow \infty$  and positive smooth solutions  $u_m > 0$  of (2) with  $p = p_m$  and we can also suppose that the  $MI(u_m)$  is bounded by a uniform constant  $M$ . Let  $0 < t_m := \max_{\Omega} u_m = u_m(x_m)$  and set  $T_m := \frac{t_m}{p_m}$ . Define  $r_m > 0$  by  $r_m^2 := \frac{1}{p_m t_m^{p_m-1}}$ .

We first show that  $r_m \rightarrow 0$ . Towards a contradiction we suppose that there is a subsequence such that  $r_m$  is bounded away from zero. Then there is some  $C$  such that  $p_m t_m^{p_m-1} \leq C$ . Now we re-write (2) as

$$L_m(u_m) := -\Delta u_m(x) - c_m(x)u_m(x) = 0 \quad \Omega \quad u_m = 0 \quad \partial\Omega,$$

where  $c_m(x) := u_m(x)^{p_m-1}$ . But note that

$$\|c_m\|_{L^\infty} = \|u_m\|_{L^\infty}^{p_m-1} = t_m^{p_m-1} \leq \frac{C}{p_m},$$

and since  $p_m \rightarrow \infty$  we see that for sufficiently large  $m$  that  $L_m$  satisfies the maximum principle. Hence, for sufficiently large  $m$ , we have  $u_m = 0$  which gives us the desired contradiction and hence  $r_m \rightarrow 0$ . For the convenience of the reader we give a proof of the above claim regarding the maximum principle. Define  $\mu(L_m)$  to be the first eigenvalue of  $L_m$  on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and let  $\mu(-\Delta)$  denote the first eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Let  $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$ . Then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} L_m(\phi) \phi dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \phi^2 (\mu(-\Delta) - c_m(x)) dx \geq \left( \mu(-\Delta) - \frac{C}{p_m} \right),$$

and hence we see that  $\mu(L_m)$  is positive for sufficiently large  $m$  and hence  $L_m$  satisfies a maximum principle.

We now define the quantity  $\delta_m := \delta(x_m) := \text{dist}(x_m, \partial\Omega) > 0$ . By passing to a subsequence we can assume that one of the following holds:

1.  $\frac{\delta_m}{r_m} \rightarrow \gamma \in [0, \infty)$ , or
2.  $\frac{\delta_m}{r_m} \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Case 1.** Note here that  $p_m \delta_m^2 t_m^{p_m-1} \rightarrow \gamma^2 \in [0, \infty)$  and so  $\delta_m^2 t_m^{p_m-1} \rightarrow 0$ . Define the rescaled functions

$$w_m(x) := \frac{u_m(x_m + \delta_m x) - u_m(x_m)}{p_m T_m} \quad x \in \Omega_m := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_m + \delta_m x \in \Omega\}.$$

Then  $w_m$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w_m &= \delta_m^2 t_m^{p_m-1} (1 + w_m)^{p_m} & \text{in } \Omega_m, \\ w_m &= -1 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_m, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

and  $-1 \leq w_m \leq 0$  in  $\Omega_m$  with  $w_m(0) = 0$ . We are now interested in the limiting behaviour of  $\Omega_m$ . Firstly note that since  $\Omega$  is smooth that  $\Omega_m$  converges to some shifted half space (which is not the case if  $\Omega$  is only, say, a Lipschitz domain). After a rotation of coordinates one sees that  $\Omega_m \rightarrow H := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_N > -1\}$ . Passing to the limit in (3) we obtain some  $w$  with  $-1 \leq w \leq 0$  in  $H$  with  $\Delta w = 0$  in  $H$  and  $w = -1$  on  $\partial H$  and  $w(0) = 0$ . This contradicts the strong maximum principle. See [10] and [3].

**Case 2.** Define the rescaled functions

$$v_m(x) := \frac{u_m(x_m + r_m x) - u_m(x_m)}{T_m} \quad x \in \Omega_m := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_m + r_m x \in \Omega\}.$$

Note that  $v_m$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v_m(x) &= \left(1 + \frac{v_m(x)}{p_m}\right)^{p_m} =: g_m(x) & \text{in } \Omega_m, \\ v_m &= -p_m & \text{on } \partial\Omega_m. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Note that  $v_m(0) = 0$  and  $-p_m \leq v_m \leq 0$  in  $\Omega_m$ . Note that the bounds on  $v_m$  show that  $0 \leq g_m(x) \leq 1$  for  $x \in \Omega_m$ . In addition note that  $\Omega_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  and by passing to a subsequence we can assume  $\Omega_m$  are nested. We now define  $w_m := -v_m \geq 0$  in  $\Omega_m$  and so  $-\Delta w_m = -g_m$  in  $\Omega_m$  with  $w_m(0) = 0$ . For any

$0 < R < \infty$  consider  $k_R$  to be the smallest integer such that  $B_R \subset\subset \Omega_{k_R}$ . Then consider  $w_m$  for  $m \geq k_R$  restricted to  $\Omega_{k_R}$ . Then by the Harnack inequality there is some  $C = C(R, \text{dist}(B_R, \partial\Omega_{k_R}))$  (but independent of  $m$ ) such that

$$\sup w_m \leq C \inf_{B_R} w_m + C \|g_m\|_{L^N(\Omega_{k_R})} = C \|g_m\|_{L^N(\Omega_{k_R})},$$

since  $w_m(0) = 0$ . Noting that  $g_m$  is bounded by 1 we see that for all  $0 < R < \infty$  there is some  $C_R$  such that  $\sup_{B_R} w_m \leq C_R$  for  $m \geq k_R$ . Returning to  $v_m$  we see that for all  $m \geq k_R$  we have  $\inf_{B_R} (v_m) \geq -C_R$ . Using this bound and a diagonal argument we can pass to the limit to find some  $v \leq 0$  with  $v(0) = 0$  and

$$-\Delta v = e^v \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (5)$$

We now discuss the Morse index of  $v_m$  and  $v$ . Suppose the Morse index of  $v_m$  is  $n$ . Then there exists  $n$  strictly negative eigenvalues,  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  and associated eigenfunctions  $\psi_k(x)$ , associated with the linearized operator associated with (4), ie.  $(\psi_k(x), \lambda_k)$  satisfy

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \psi_k(x) = \left(1 + \frac{v_m(x)}{p_m}\right)^{p_m-1} \psi_k(x) + \lambda_k \psi_k(x) & \text{in } \Omega_m, \\ \psi_k = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_m. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Now define  $\phi_k(x) = \psi_k(\frac{x-x_m}{r_m})$  for  $x \in \Omega$ . Then  $\phi_k(x)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \phi_k(x) = p_m u_m(x)^{p_m-1} \phi_k(x) + \frac{\lambda_k}{r_m^2} \phi_k(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \phi_k = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Hence we can conclude that the Morse index of  $u_m$  is at least  $n$  and by hypothesis we have  $n \leq M$ . So we have the Morse index of  $v_m$  is bounded by  $M$ .

Now we suppose that  $X \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  a  $n$  dimensional linear subspace such that  $I(\psi) < 0$  for all  $\psi \in X \setminus \{0\}$  where

$$I(\psi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \psi|^2 - e^v \psi^2 dx,$$

and we suppose that  $\{\psi_k : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$  forms a basis for  $X$ . Denote  $I(\psi_k) := -\sigma_k < 0$ . Let  $m_0$  be sufficiently large such that the support of every element

of  $X$  is contained in  $\Omega_m$  for all  $m \geq m_0$ . Let  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $m \geq m_0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_m(\psi_k) &:= \int_{\Omega_m} |\nabla \psi_k|^2 - e^{v_m} \psi_k^2 dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{m_0}} |\nabla \psi_k|^2 - e^{v_m} \psi_k^2 dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{m_0}} |\nabla \psi_k|^2 - e^v \psi_k^2 dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega_{m_0}} (e^v - e^{v_m}) \psi_k^2 dx \\
&= -\sigma_k + \int_{\Omega_{m_0}} (e^v - e^{v_m}) \psi_k^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the convergence of  $v_m \rightarrow v$  we see that for sufficiently large  $m$  that  $I_m(\psi_k) < 0$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . From this we can conclude the Morse index of  $v_m$  is at least  $n$  and hence  $n \leq M$ . This shows that the Morse index of  $v$ , which satisfies (5), is at most  $M$ . But in [4] it was shown there are no solutions of  $-\Delta v = e^v$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  which are stable outside a compact provided  $3 \leq N \leq 9$ . In particular this shows there are no solutions of finite Morse index, and hence we have the desired contradiction.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. Bahri and J.M. Coron, *On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent: the effect of the topology of the domain*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (3) (1988) 253-294.
- [2] J.M. Coron, *Topologie et cas limite des injections de Sobolev*. C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 299, Series I, 209212.(1984).
- [3] E.N. Dancer, *Stable solutions on  $R^n$  and the primary branch of some non-self-adjoint convex problems*, Differential and Integral Equations 17(2004),961-970.
- [4] E.N. Dancer and A. Farina, *On the classification of solutions of  $\Delta u = e^u$  on  $R^N$ : stability outside a compact set and applications*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009),1333-1338 (with E.N. Dancer).

- [5] M. del Pino, J. Dolbeault and M. Musso, *A phase plane analysis of the multi-bubbling phenomenon in some slightly supercritical equations*, Monatsh. Math. 142 no. 1-2, 57-79 (2004).
- [6] M. del Pino, J. Dolbeault and M. Musso, *Bubble-tower radial solutions in the slightly supercritical Brezis-Nirenberg problem*, Journal of Differential Equations 193 (2003), no. 2, 280-306.
- [7] M. del Pino, P. Felmer, M. Musso Multi-bubble solutions for slightly super-critical elliptic problems in domains with symmetries Bull. London Math. Society 35 (2003), no. 4, 513-521
- [8] M. del Pino, P. Felmer and M. Musso, *Two-bubble solutions in the supercritical Bahri-Corons problem*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 16(2):113145.(2003).
- [9] M. del Pino and J. Wei, *Supercritical elliptic problems in domains with small holes*, Ann. Non linearie, Annoles de l'Institut H. Poincare, 24(2007), no.4, 507-520.
- [10] L. Dupaigne, **Stable solutions of elliptic partial differential equations**, Chapman and Hall/CRC Monographs and surveys in Pure and applied mathematics 143, (2011).
- [11] A. Farina, *On the classification of solutions of the LaneEmden equation on unbounded domains of  $R^N$* , J. Math. Pures Appl. 87 (2007) 537-561.
- [12] J. McGough, J. Mortensen, *Pohozaev obstructions on non-starlike domains*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 18 (2003), no. 2, 189205.
- [13] J. McGough, J. Mortensen, C. Rickett and G. Stubbendieck, *Domain geometry and the Pohozaev identity*. Electron. J. Differential Equations 2005, No. 32, 16 pp.
- [14] D. Passaseo, *Nonexistence results for elliptic problems with supercritical nonlinearity in nontrivial domains*. J. Funct. Anal. 114(1):97105.(1993).
- [15] S. Pohozaev, *Eigenfunctions of the equation  $-\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$* , Soviet Math. Doklady 6 (1965), 1408-1411.

- [16] R. Schaaf, *Uniqueness for semilinear elliptic problems: supercritical growth and domain geometry*, Adv. Differential Equations, 5:1012 (2000), pp. 12011220.
- [17] K. Schmitt, *Positive solutions of semilinear elliptic boundary value problems*, Topological methods in differential equations and inclusions (Montreal, PQ, 1994), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 472, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995, pp. 447-500.
- [18] Struwe, M. (1990). Variational Methods Applications to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Hamiltonian Systems. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.