

A Liouville Theorem for a Fourth Order Hénon Equation

Craig Cowan

*Department of Mathematics
University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N2, Canada
e-mail: ctc0013@uah.edu*

Received in revised form 13 September 2013

Communicated by Norman Dancer

Abstract

We examine the following fourth order Hénon equation

$$\Delta^2 u = |x|^\alpha u^p \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $0 < \alpha$. Define the Hardy-Sobolev exponent $p_4(\alpha) := \frac{N+4+2\alpha}{N-4}$. We show that in dimension $N = 5$ there are no positive bounded classical solutions provided $1 < p < p_4(\alpha)$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J30, 35J61 .

Key words. Biharmonic, entire solutions, Liouville theorems.

1 Introduction and main results

In this work we are interested in the following fourth order problem

$$\Delta^2 u = |x|^\alpha u^p \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

where $p > 1$ and $\alpha > 0$. Our interest is in the Liouville property (ie. the nonexistence of positive solutions). We begin by recalling the known results for the second order analog of (1.1),

$$-\Delta u = |x|^\alpha u^p \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.2}$$

The case where $\alpha = 0$ has been very widely studied, see [2], [3], [10],[9]. It is known that there are no positive classical solutions of (1.2) under various decay assumptions as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ provided that

$$1 < p < \frac{N+2}{N-2},$$

and in the case of $N = 2$ there is no solution for any $p > 1$. We further remark that this is an optimal result. We now give a brief background on the case where α is nonzero, for more details see [17]. We define the Hardy-Sobolev exponent

$$p_2(\alpha) := \frac{N+2+2\alpha}{N-2},$$

where $N \geq 3$. It is known, see [10] and [4], that if $\alpha \leq -2$ then there are no positive solutions to (1.2) on any domain containing the origin. Hence we restrict our attention to the case where $\alpha > -2$. The case of radial solutions is completely understood, see [10] and [1], where they show there exists a positive classical radial solution of (1.2) if and only if $p \geq p_2(\alpha)$. This result suggests the following:

Conjecture 1.1 *Suppose that $\alpha > -2$. If $1 < p < p_2(\alpha)$ then (1.2) has no classical bounded solution.*

Note that $p_2(\alpha) \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ exactly when $\alpha \leq 0$. Also note that the term $|x|^\alpha$ changes monotonicity when α changes sign. For these reasons the methods available to prove this conjecture greatly depend on the sign of α . Until recently the best known results concerning (1.2), apart from the radial case, were

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\alpha > -2$ and $p > 1$.*

1. *If $p < \min\{p_2(0), p_2(\alpha)\}$ then there are no positive sufficiently regular solutions of (1.2).*
2. *If $p < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ then there are no positive weak supersolutions of (1.2).*

The first part of this theorem is from [10] and [1]. Note that this implies that the Conjecture 1.1 holds in the case of negative α . The second part is from [15] and is an optimal result after considering $u(x) = C|x|^{\frac{-\alpha-2}{p-1}}$ for some positive C . We now come to the method which we will extend to (1.1). In [18] the Lane-Emden conjecture, which is related to the nonexistence of positive solutions of the elliptic system

$$-\Delta u = v^p, \quad -\Delta v = u^q \quad \mathbb{R}^N,$$

was shown to be true in dimension $N = 4$. Later this method was extended in [17] to show:

Theorem 1.2 *Suppose that $N = 3$ and $\alpha > 0$. If $1 < p < p_2(\alpha)$ then there is no positive bounded classical solution of (1.2).*

In fact they prove more. They show there is no positive classical solution that satisfies certain growth conditions. This method does allow them to obtain new, but non optimal, non existence results in higher dimensions. We also mention that they give a new proof of Theorem 1.1. It is precisely Theorem 1.2 which we will extend to (1.1). We remark that one could use the methods from [17] to prove results concerning (1.1) for negative α but we choose not to do this. We mention that these methods were extended independently in [6] and [16] to the weighted system

$$-\Delta u = |x|^\alpha v^p, \quad -\Delta v = |x|^\beta u^q \quad \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Before stating our result we briefly recall the results for (1.1). The most studied case is when $\alpha = 0$. In this case the positive classical bounded solutions of (1.1) are classified and there are no positive bounded solutions provided

$$1 < p < \frac{N+4}{N-4},$$

see [12], [19]. For other results concerning (1.1) we direct the reader to [7], [11]. Using the methods from [15] one can show there are no positive weak supersolutions of (1.1) provided that

$$1 < p < \frac{N + \alpha}{N - 4},$$

see the comment after Lemma 2.3. In various applications one is not interested in any solution of (1.1) but rather one with added properties. In [6] it was shown that there are no positive finite Morse index solutions of (1.1) provided

$$1 < p < p_4(\alpha) := \frac{N + 4 + 2\alpha}{N - 4}.$$

We now come to our result.

Theorem 1.3 *Suppose that $0 < \alpha$. Then there is no positive bounded classical solution of (1.1) provided $N = 5$ and*

$$1 < p < p_4(\alpha) = \frac{N + 4 + 2\alpha}{N - 4} = 9 + 2\alpha.$$

Remark 1.1 The results of this article have recently been extended to the case of polyharmonic Henon Lane Emden systems, see [5]. A particular case of their result is given by: the only nonnegative bounded classical solution of $(-\Delta)^m u = |x|^\alpha u^p$ in \mathbb{R}^N is zero in the case where $N = 2m + 1$ and $1 < p < 1 + 4m + 2\alpha$. Note the main result of the current article is the case when $m = 2$. Also in [5] the case of radial solutions are examined where they use a generalization of the method developed in [13] and [14]. Optimal results are obtained. In the case of (1.1) it is shown that there exists a positive classical radial solution if and only if $p \geq \frac{N+4+2\alpha}{N-4}$. Using this fact about radial solutions one sees that our result given by Theorem 1.3 is optimal.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We first introduce some notation. For $R > 0$ we define $B_R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}$ and we let ∂B_R denote the boundary of the ball. We begin with a Rellich-Pohozaev argument, see [6] for details.

Lemma 2.1 [6] *Suppose that u is a bounded nonnegative solution of (1.1) with $N \geq 5$, $\alpha > 0$ and $1 < p < p_4(\alpha)$. Define*

$$F(R) := \int_{B_R} |x|^\alpha u^p.$$

Then there exists $C = C(N, p, \alpha) > 0$ but which is independent of R such that for all $R \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F(R)}{C} &\leq R^{1+\alpha} \int_{\partial B_R} u^{p+1} + \int_{\partial B_R} |\Delta u| |\nabla u| \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla(\Delta u)| u - \frac{R}{2C} \int_{\partial B_R} (\Delta u)^2 \\ &\quad + R \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla(\Delta u)| |\nabla u| + \int_{\partial B_R} |\Delta u| |\nabla(x \cdot \nabla u)|. \end{aligned}$$

We now eliminate and simplify some of the terms from the above lemma.

Corollary 2.1 *Suppose that u is a bounded nonnegative solution of (1.1) with $N \geq 5$, $\alpha > 0$ and $1 < p < p_4(\alpha)$. Then there is some $C = C(N, p, \alpha) > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F(R)}{C} &\leq R^{1+\alpha} \int_{\partial B_R} u^{p+1} + \frac{1}{R} \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla \Delta u| u + R \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla \Delta u| |\nabla u| \\ &\quad + R \int_{\partial B_R} |D^2 u|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for all $R \geq 1$.

For future reference we label the terms on the right hand side of (2.3) as I_1, I_2, \dots, I_5 , where I_1 is the first term on the right and I_5 is the last. *Proof.* One immediately obtains the desired result by using the following two inequalities and taking $\varepsilon > 0$ small,

$$\int_{\partial B_R} |\Delta u| |\nabla u| \leq \varepsilon R \int_{\partial B_R} |\Delta u|^2 + \frac{C_\varepsilon}{R} \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla u|^2, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\int_{\partial B_R} |\Delta u| |\nabla(x \cdot \nabla u(x))| \leq \varepsilon R \int_{\partial B_R} (\Delta u)^2 + \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{R} \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla u|^2 + C(\varepsilon)R \int_{\partial B_R} |D^2 u|^2. \tag{2.5}$$

(2.4) is obtained from Young’s inequality after inserting the factor \sqrt{R} . To show (2.5) one begins with the inequality

$$|\nabla(x \cdot \nabla u(x))|^2 \leq C \{ |\nabla u(x)|^2 + |x|^2 |D^2 u(x)|^2 \},$$

where $C = C(N) > 0$. This is obtained by direct calculation and some obvious estimates. Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial B_R} |\Delta u| |\nabla(x \cdot \nabla u(x))| &\leq \varepsilon R \int_{\partial B_R} (\Delta u)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{R} \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla(x \cdot \nabla u(x))|^2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon R \int_{\partial B_R} (\Delta u)^2 + \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{R} \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\quad + C(\varepsilon)R \int_{\partial B_R} |D^2 u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

To show the nonexistence of a positive solution of (1.1) one would like to show that each of these boundary integral terms goes to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$. The trick in Souplet’s method, see [17] and [18], is to view R as a parameter and then to use various Sobolev inequalities on the sphere S^{N-1} to estimate these boundary integrals. One of the benefits of this is that one has improved embeddings since they are now working on a lower dimensional object. The following lemma is just the standard L^p regularity and interpolation results written in a scale invariant way, see for instance [8].

Lemma 2.2 *1. (L^p regularity) For $1 < t < \infty$ there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $R \geq 1$ and for all sufficiently regular v we have*

$$\int_{B_R \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |D_x^4 v|^t \leq C \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\frac{R}{4}}} |\Delta^2 v|^t + \frac{C}{R^{4t}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\frac{R}{4}}} |v|^t. \tag{2.6}$$

2. (Interpolation) For $1 \leq t < \infty$ and $1 \leq j \leq 3$ (an integer) there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $R \geq 1$ and for all sufficiently regular v we have

$$\int_{B_R \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |D_x^j v|^t \leq CR^{t(4-j)} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\frac{R}{4}}} |\Delta^2 v|^t + \frac{C}{R^{jt}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\frac{R}{4}}} |v|^t. \tag{2.7}$$

The next lemma follows from the rescaled test function method from [15]

Lemma 2.3 [15] Suppose that u is a positive weak supersolution of (1.1). Then there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_{2R}} |x|^\alpha u^p \leq CR^{N - \frac{\alpha}{p-1} - \frac{4p}{p-1}}$$

for all $R \geq 1$.

Note that if $p < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-4}$ then the exponent of R on the right hand side is negative and this is enough to show, after sending $R \rightarrow \infty$, that there is no positive solution (or positive weak supersolution) to (1.1) as mentioned in the introduction.

Corollary 2.2 Suppose that $N = 5$, $1 < p < p_4(\alpha) = 9 + 2\alpha$ and that u is a bounded positive classical solution of (1.1).

1. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_{2R}} u \leq CR^{N - \frac{(4+\alpha)}{p-1}},$$

for all $R \geq 1$.

2. Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist some $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\frac{R}{4}}} |D_x^4 u|^{1+\varepsilon} \leq C_\varepsilon R^{N - \frac{4p}{p-1} - \frac{\alpha}{p-1} + \alpha\varepsilon},$$

for all $R \geq 1$.

3. There exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\frac{R}{4}}} |D_x^3 u| \leq CR^{N - \frac{1+\alpha+3p}{p-1}},$$

for all $R \geq 1$.

4. There exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\frac{R}{4}}} |D_x u| \leq CR^{N - \frac{p+3+\alpha}{p-1}},$$

for all $R \geq 1$.

5. There exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\frac{R}{4}}} |D_x^2 u| \leq CR^{N - \frac{\alpha+2p+2}{p-1}},$$

for all $R \geq 1$.

Since we are only interested in a very specific range of p and N we restrict the corollary to these values but the corollary does in fact hold for more general p and N . *Proof.* 1. Here we use the result from Lemma 2.3 and Hölder’s inequality.

2. We use the L^p regularity result to see

$$\int_{B_R \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |D_x^4 u|^{1+\varepsilon} \leq C \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\frac{R}{4}}} (\Delta^2 u)^\varepsilon (\Delta^2 u) + \frac{C}{R^{4(1+\varepsilon)}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\frac{R}{4}}} u^{1+\varepsilon}$$

and since u is bounded we have the right hand side bounded by

$$CR^{\alpha\varepsilon} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\frac{R}{4}}} |x|^\alpha u^p + \frac{C}{R^{4(1+\varepsilon)}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_{\frac{R}{4}}} u.$$

One now uses Lemma 2.3 and 1 from the current corollary to obtain the desired result. For the remainder of the proofs one uses the estimates from 1 and 2 and the interpolation inequality. \square

We now introduce the various notations we will be using on the sphere. Given nonzero $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we will use spherical coordinates $r = |x|$ and $\theta = \frac{x}{|x|} \in S^{N-1}$. We will write $v(x) = v(r, \theta)$. Also unless otherwise stated L^p norms will be over the unit sphere S^{N-1} , so given some function v defined on S^{N-1} we have $\|v\|_p := \left\{ \int_{S^{N-1}} |v|^p d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$. We will let

$$\|v(R)\|_p^p := \int_{S^{N-1}} |v(R, \theta)|^p d\theta.$$

Another key idea from [18] is to turn the volume estimates from Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.2 into estimates which are valid over spheres of increasing radii $R_m \rightarrow \infty$. To illustrate the idea we assume that we have estimates of the form

$$\int_{B_R \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}} f_i(x) dx \leq C_i R^{N-\alpha_i},$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and where $0 \leq f_i$. The goal is to find a sequence $R_m \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$g_i(R_m) := \int_{S^{N-1}} f_i(R_m, \theta) d\theta,$$

satisfies

$$g_i(R_m) \leq CR_m^{-\alpha_i},$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. So note that we can write

$$\int_{\frac{R}{2}}^R r^{N-1} g_i(r) dr = \int_{B_R \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}} f_i(x) dx \leq C_i R^{N-\alpha_i}.$$

We now define the sets

$$\Gamma_i(R) := \left\{ r \in \left(\frac{R}{2}, R \right) : g_i(r) \geq KR^{-\alpha_i} \right\},$$

where we will pick $K > 0$ later, and note that we have

$$\int_{\Gamma_i(R)} r^{N-1} g_i(r) dr \leq \int_{B_R \setminus B_{\frac{R}{2}}} f_i(x) dx \leq C_i R^{N-\alpha_i}$$

and the left side has a lower bound given by

$$|\Gamma_i(R)|R^{N-\alpha_i-1} \frac{K}{2^{N-1}}.$$

This shows that

$$|\Gamma_i(R)| \leq \frac{C_i 2^{N-1}}{K} R,$$

and by taking $K > 0$ big one has, by looking at the measure, that there exists some \tilde{R} such that

$$\tilde{R} \in \left(\frac{R}{2}, R\right) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i(R).$$

From this one can conclude that

$$g_i(\tilde{R}) \leq K(2^{\alpha_i} + 2^{-\alpha_i})\tilde{R}^{-\alpha_i},$$

and so there is some $C > 0$ and $1 \leq R_m \rightarrow \infty$ such that $g_i(R_m) \leq CR_m^{-\alpha_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. The following lemma is immediate after using the above procedure along with the estimates from Corollary 2.2.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small. Then there exists some $K > 0$ and $1 \leq R_m \rightarrow \infty$ such that*

$$\|D_x^3 u(R_m)\|_1 \leq KR_m^{\frac{-\alpha-3p-1}{p-1}}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\|D_x u(R_m)\|_1 \leq KR_m^{\frac{-3-\alpha-p}{p-1}}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\|u(R_m)\|_1 \leq KR_m^{\frac{-4-\alpha}{p-1}}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\|D_x^4 u(R_m)\|_{1+\varepsilon} \leq KR_m^{\frac{-4p-\alpha}{p-1} + \alpha\varepsilon}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\|D_x^2 u(R_m)\|_1 \leq KR_m^{\frac{-\alpha-2p-2}{p-1}}. \tag{2.12}$$

Note that we can rewrite (2.11) as

$$\|D_x^4 u(R_m)\|_{1+\varepsilon} \leq KR_m^{\frac{-4p-\alpha}{p-1} + a(\varepsilon)},$$

where

$$a(\varepsilon) = \left(\frac{4p + \alpha}{p - 1} + \alpha\right) \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon}.$$

Note that $a(\varepsilon) > 0$ all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $a(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Completion of the proof for Theorem 1.3. Let $\alpha > 0$, $N = 5$ and take $1 < p < p_4(\alpha) = 9 + 2\alpha$. We suppose there exists a positive bounded solution u of (1.1) and we choose $0 < \varepsilon$ small (we pick precisely later). Let $1 \leq R_m \rightarrow \infty$ be as promised in Lemma 2.4. We now find upper bounds for each term I_i from Corollary 2.1 using a combination of Sobolev embeddings on the unit sphere, Hölder’s inequality and the decay estimates from Lemma 2.4. We omit the index m in what follows, so $R = R_m$. We also omit any constants that are independent of $R \geq 1$. All spaces and norms are over the unit sphere.

1. (Estimate for I_1). First note that $(I_1(R))^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \leq R^{\frac{N+\alpha}{p+1}} \|u(R)\|_{p+1} \leq R^{\frac{N+\alpha}{p+1}} \|u(R)\|_\infty$. We have the Sobolev embedding $W^{4,1+\varepsilon} \rightarrow L^\infty$ and so for any sufficiently regular v defined on the unit sphere we have $\|v\|_\infty \leq \|D_\theta^4 v\|_{1+\varepsilon} + \|v\|_1$. Taking $v = u(R)$ gives

$$\|u(R)\|_\infty \leq \|D_\theta^4 u(R)\|_{1+\varepsilon} + \|u(R)\|_1 \leq R^4 \|D_x^4 u(R)\|_{1+\varepsilon} + \|u(R)\|_1,$$

and we now use the decay estimates from Lemma 2.4 to see that

$$(I_1(R))^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \leq R^{\frac{N+\alpha}{p+1}} \left(R^{\frac{-4-\alpha}{p-1} + a(\varepsilon)} + R^{\frac{-4-\alpha}{p-1}} \right).$$

Now recalling that $R > 1$ and that $a(\varepsilon) > 0$ we see that $(I_1(R))^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \leq R^{a_1(\varepsilon)}$, where

$$a_1(\varepsilon) := \frac{p - 2\alpha - 9}{(p+1)(p-1)} + a(\varepsilon),$$

and we note this can be made negative by taking $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small.

2. (Estimate for I_2). Firstly we have $(I_2(R))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq R^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \|D_x u(R)\|_2$ and we have the Sobolev embedding $W^{2,1} \rightarrow L^2$. Hence $\|v\|_2 \leq \|D_\theta^2 v\|_2 + \|v\|_1$ and taking $v = D_x u(R)$ gives $\|D_x u(R)\|_2 \leq R^2 \|D_x^3 u(R)\|_1 + \|D_x u(R)\|_1$. Using the estimates from Lemma 2.4 gives

$$(I_2(R))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq R^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\alpha+p+3}{p-1}},$$

and note exponent on R is negative precisely when $p < 9 + 2\alpha$.

3. (Estimate for I_3). First note that $I_3(R) \leq R^{N-1} \|u(R)\|_\infty \|D_x^3 u(R)\|_1$. Using the estimates from Lemma 2.4 and the L^∞ estimate from 1 we arrive at $I_3(R) \leq R^{a_2(\varepsilon)}$ where

$$a_2(\varepsilon) := \frac{p - 2\alpha - 9}{p-1} + a(\varepsilon),$$

which we note can be made negative by taking $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small.

4. (Estimate for I_5). Note that $(I_5(R))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq R^{\frac{N}{2}} \|D_x^2 u(R)\|_2$. Also note that $W^{2,1} \rightarrow L^2$ so

$$\|D_x^2 u(R)\|_2 \leq R^2 \|D_x^4 u(R)\|_1 + \|D_x^2 u(R)\|_1,$$

and replacing the term $\|D_x^4 u(R)\|_1$ with $\|D_x^4 u(R)\|_{1+\varepsilon}$ one arrives at

$$(I_5(R))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq R^{\frac{N}{2}} R^{\frac{-2p-2-\alpha}{p-1} + a(\varepsilon)} = R^{a_2(\varepsilon)},$$

where $a_2(\varepsilon)$ is defined as above and is negative for small ε .

5. (Estimate for I_4). First note that by Hölder's inequality we have $I_4(R) \leq R^N \|D_x^3 u(R)\|_{\frac{4}{3}} \|D_x u(R)\|_4$. Sobolev embedding gives $W^{1,1} \rightarrow L^{\frac{4}{3}}$ and so

$$\|D_x^3 u(R)\|_{\frac{4}{3}} \leq \|D_\theta D_x^3 u(R)\|_1 + \|D_x^3 u(R)\|_1 \leq R \|D_x^4 u(R)\|_{1+\varepsilon} + \|D_x^3 u(R)\|_1.$$

Also we have $W^{2,\frac{4}{3}} \rightarrow L^4$ and so

$$\|D_x u(R)\|_4 \leq R^2 \|D_x^3 u(R)\|_{\frac{4}{3}} + \|D_x u(R)\|_1.$$

Using the estimates from Lemma 2.4 gives

$$\|D_x^3 u(R)\|_{\frac{4}{3}} \leq R^{-\frac{3p-a-1}{p-1}+a(\varepsilon)}, \quad \|D_x u(R)\|_4 \leq R^{-\frac{p-a-3}{p-1}+a(\varepsilon)}.$$

Putting this together gives $I_4(R) \leq R^{a_3(\varepsilon)}$ where

$$a_3(\varepsilon) := \frac{p-9-2\alpha}{p-1} + 2a(\varepsilon),$$

and note this is negative for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

So by taking $\varepsilon > 0$ but sufficiently small such that $a_1(\varepsilon), a_2(\varepsilon), a_3(\varepsilon) < 0$ and letting R_m denote the sequence promised by Lemma 2.4 we see that

$$\int_{B_{R_m}} |x|^\alpha u^{p+1} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^5 I_i(R_m),$$

but $I_i(R_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and so we see that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^\alpha u^{p+1} dx = 0$ contradicting the fact that u is positive. \square

References

- [1] M.B Veron and H. Giacomini, *A new dynamical approach of Emden-Fowler equations and systems*, Adv. Differential Equations **15**, 11-12 (2010), 1033-1082, .
- [2] L. Caffarelli, B. Gidas and J. Spruck, *Asymptotic symmetry and local behaviour of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **42** (1989), 271297.
- [3] W. Chen and C. Li, *Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 615622.
- [4] E. N. Dancer, Y. Du and Z. Guo, *Finite Morse index solutions of an elliptic equation with supercritical exponent*, Journal of Differential Equations **250**, no. 8 (2011), 3281-3310.
- [5] M. Fazly, *Liouville theorems for the polyharmonic Henon-Lane-Emden system*, Preprint, 2013 arXiv <http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.0073>
- [6] M. Fazly and N. Ghoussoub, *On the Hénon-Lane-Emden conjecture*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-A, special issue on *Recent development in nonlinear partial differential equations* (2013), 23 pp.
- [7] F. Gazzola and H.-Ch. Grunau, *Radial entire solutions for supercritical biharmonic equations*, Math. Ann. **334** (2006), 905-936.
- [8] F. Gazzola, H.-Ch. Grunau and G. Sweers, *Polyharmonic Boundary Value Problems: A Monograph on Positivity Preserving and Nonlinear Higher Order Elliptic Equations in Bounded Domains*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics n. 1991, 2010.
- [9] B. Gidas, W. Ni and
- [10] B. Gidas and J. Spruck, *Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **34**, 4 (1981), 525-598, . L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle*, Commun. Math. Phys. **68** (1979), 525598.
- [11] Z. Guo and J. Wei, *Qualitative properties of entire radial solutions for a biharmonic equation with supercritical nonlinearity*, Proc. American Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no.11, 3957-3964.
- [12] C-S. Lin, *A classification of solutions of a conformally invariant fourth order equation in \mathbb{R}^n* , Comment. Math. Helv. **73**, no. 2 (1998), 206231.

- [13] E. Mitidieri, *A Rellich type identity and applications*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **18** (1993), no. 1-2, 125-151.
- [14] E. Mitidieri, *Nonexistence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic systems in \mathbb{R}^N* , Differential Integral Equations **9** (1996), 465-479.
- [15] E. Mitidieri and S. I. Pokhozhaev, *A priori estimates and the absence of solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **234** (2001), 1-384.
- [16] Q.H. Phan, *Liouville-type theorems and bounds of solutions for Hardy-Hnon elliptic systems*, Adv. Diff. Equ. **17** (2012), 605-634.
- [17] Q.H. Phan and P. Souplet, *Liouville-type theorems and bounds of solutions of Hardy-Hénon equations*, J. Differential Equations **252** (2012), 2544-2562.
- [18] P. Souplet, *The proof of the Lane-Emden conjecture in four space dimensions*. Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 5 14091427.
- [19] X. Xu, *Uniqueness theorem for the entire positive solutions of biharmonic equations in R^n* , Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **130**, no. 3 (2000), 651670.