

Injective, Pure-injective, and Saturated Modules

A brief overview — Corrected and expanded, February 2024

T. G. Kucera

Department of Mathematics, University of Manitoba

Rings and Modules Seminar, January 30, 2024



Injective modules

Conventions:

- R is a ring with unit;
- All modules are left R -modules;
- All ideals are left ideals;
-
-

Injective Modules: Definition

A module ${}_R E$ is **injective** iff

Every diagram of left R -modules
of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & E & & \\ & & \uparrow f & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\quad \subset \quad} & N \end{array}$$

can be completed as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & E & & \\ & & \uparrow f & \nearrow \bar{f} & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N \end{array}$$

Injective modules: Standard examples

- Abelian groups \mathbb{Q} ; $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ (p a prime); \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} .
- Any (non-zero) vector space over a division ring.
- Any direct summand of an injective module;
- Any direct product of injective modules;
- Every non zero module is injective iff R is semisimple.

Injective modules: Baer's criterion

A module ${}_R E$ is **injective** iff

Every diagram of a left ideal of R of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & E & \\ f \uparrow & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow I & \xrightarrow{\subset} & R \end{array}$$

can be completed as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & E & \\ \varphi \uparrow & \nearrow \bar{f} & \\ 0 \longrightarrow I & \longrightarrow & R \end{array}$$

Set Theory!

The proof requires the use of the Axiom of Choice.

In fact, the statement “ \mathbb{Q} is an injective abelian group” implies a fragment of Countable AC.

Systems of linear equations (1)

Let $\bar{x} = \langle x_j \rangle_{j \in J}$ be a (possibly infinite!) list of distinct variables and N a left R -module.

linear equation over N

A **linear equation** in \bar{x} over N is just some **finite** linear combination

$$\sum_{j \in J} r_j x_j = n,$$

(that is, $r_j = 0$ for all but finitely many $j \in J$),
where each $r_j \in R$ and $n \in N$.

Systems of linear equations (2)

System of linear equations

A **system of linear equations** in \bar{x} over N is just some set, possibly infinite, of such equations.

Matrix representation

Any such system can be represented in the form

$$A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$$

where A is a **row-finite** $I \times J$ matrix over R and \bar{b} is a $I \times 1$ column of constants from N .

Systems of linear equations: Satisfiability

Solutions

Suppose $N \leq M$.

A **solution** to $A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$ is some $\bar{m} \in M$ such that $A\bar{m} = \bar{b}$ (in M).

Satisfiability

$A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$ is **satisfiable** iff for some $M \geq N$, $A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$ has a solution in M .

Systems of linear equations: (formal) consistency I

Suppose $N \leq M$; $A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$ is a system of linear equations over N .

Consistency

$A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$ is (formally) consistent iff for all $(1 \times I) \bar{r} \in R$,
($r_i = 0$ for all but finitely many $i \in I$),

$$\bar{r}A = 0 \text{ implies } \bar{r}\bar{b} = 0$$

Lemma

If $A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$ is satisfiable, then it is consistent.

Theorem

If $A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$ is consistent, then it is satisfiable.

Systems of linear equations: (formal) consistency II

For the Theorem:

- Let \mathcal{E} be the submodule of $\overline{N} = R^{(J)} \oplus N$ generated by the rows of $[A \mid \overline{b}]$.
- Let $M = \overline{N} / \mathcal{E}$.
Then N embeds in M —follows from consistency!
- Let $\langle \underline{e}_j \rangle_{j \in J}$ be the standard basis of the free module $R^{(J)}$;
- let $n_j = \underline{e}_j / \mathcal{E}$.
- Then $\langle n_j \rangle_{j \in J}$ is a solution of $A\overline{x} = \overline{b}$ in M .

Theorem

${}_R E$ is injective iff every formally consistent system of linear equations over E has a solution in E .

Theorem (Baer's Criterion)

${}_R E$ is injective iff every formally consistent system of linear equations *in one variable* over E has a solution in E .

Injectivity and systems of linear equations II

For the Theorem: the forward direction is easy; for the converse:

Given a diagram of left R -modules of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & E & & \\ & & \uparrow f & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\quad \subset \quad} & N \end{array}$$

- Let J enumerate N as \bar{n} ;
- let $\langle x_j \rangle_{j \in J}$ be variables ;
- Let $A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$ list all the equations $\bar{r} \cdot \bar{x} = b$, with constant $b \in M$, such that $\bar{n} \cdot \bar{x} = b$.

Then $A\bar{x} = f[\bar{n}]$ is consistent in E because f is a homomorphism, and a solution \bar{x} in E defines a homomorphism $\bar{f} : N \rightarrow E$, because all the ‘arithmetic’ of N is encoded in the linear system.

Injective extensions

Theorem

Every module N can be embedded in an injective module.

“Proof”

The proof of the theorem “consistency implies satisfiability” shows how to add a solution to one consistent system of linear equations over N . A potentially VERY long construction by transfinite recursion will eventually give an injective extension.

Remark: I do have some notes on a probably mostly correct proof of this result.

$< (2^{|R|+|N|})^+$ is probably enough

Pure-injective modules

Purity (1)

positive primitive formulas

A **positive primitive formula** (ppf) is some existential quantification of a (finite) system of linear equations.

For instance, **divisibility**: $\exists u \, ru = x$.

matrix representation

$$\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{b}) = \exists \bar{u} \left(A \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x} \\ \bar{u} \end{bmatrix} = \bar{b} \right)$$

where A is a (finite) matrix over R of the appropriate shape, \bar{x} and \bar{u} are finite lists of variables, and \bar{b} are constants from some module N .

Purity (2): pure embeddings

Theorem

Let $M \leq N$ be R -modules.

The following are equivalent:

- Every Σ (a finite system of linear equations over M) that has a solution in N has a solution in M .
- Every ppf $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{b})$ over M that has a solution in N has a solution in M .

Definition

In this situation we say that M is **pure** in N , or that M is a **pure submodule** of N

Pure embeddings—examples

$$M \leq M \oplus N$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \leq \overline{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$$

NOT, for instance, $\mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{Q}$, or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$.

Pure-injectivity

A module ${}_R N$ is **pure-injective** iff it is injective **over pure embeddings**, that is

Every diagram of left R -modules
of the form:

can be completed as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & N & \\ f \uparrow & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\text{pure}} B \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & N & \\ f \uparrow & \nearrow \bar{f} & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\text{pure}} B \end{array}$$

Clearly every injective module is pure-injective.

Equational compactness

Definition

N is **equationally compact** iff for all systems of linear equations Σ over N , if every finite subset of Σ has a solution in N , then Σ has a solution in N .

Kaplansky [1954] and [1969] for abelian groups; Łoś et al. [1957]; Mycielski [1964, 1968] for universal algebra.

We say that “If Σ is finitely satisfiable, then it is satisfiable.”

Standard examples

If N carries a compatible compact Hausdorff topology, then N is equationally compact.

- e.g. any finite module.
- The abelian group \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} .
- The p -adic group $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}$.

Theorem

*N is pure-injective
iff
 N is equationally compact*

NOTE: “Equational compactness” is a definition of universal algebra. It does not necessarily imply conditions similar to pure-injectivity in other kinds of algebras.

Saturated modules

A little bit of general Model Theory (1)

- an arbitrary first-order language—function symbols, constant symbols, relation symbols;
 - e.g. the usual first-order language for modules over a fixed ring R : $\langle +, -, 0, \underline{r}(-) \rangle_{r \in R}$, where each \underline{r} is a unary operation representing scalar multiplication by r .
 - The usual language for ordered fields $\langle +, -, 0, \times, 1, < \rangle$.
- **formulas** formed from terms and relations between them; combined using all propositional connectives ($\wedge, \vee, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \dots$) and quantifiers \forall, \exists ; and allowing parameters from some subset A of some structure;
- in particular, **sentences** are formulas with no free variables: they assert something that will be true or false about a structure as a whole, not about individual elements (tuples) of the structure.
 - $(\forall x)[(\underline{s}x \neq 0) \rightarrow (\exists y)(\underline{r}y = x)]$.
 - $(\forall x)[(0 < x \rightarrow (\exists y)(y \times y = x))]$.

A little bit of general Model Theory (2)

- A **theory** is a set of sentences, usually taken to be **deductively closed** and **consistent** (does not contain, e.g., $\exists x(x \neq x)$).
- Two structures for the same language are **elementarily equivalent**, $M \equiv N$, if they satisfy exactly the same sentences.
- If M is a substructure of N , then M is an **elementary substructure** of N , $M \preceq N$ if for some/any enumeration of M as \overline{m} , $\langle M, \overline{m} \rangle \equiv \langle N, \overline{m} \rangle$.

A little bit of general Model Theory (3): types

Let N be a structure, $A \subseteq N$, \bar{b} some (usually finitely many) elements of N , and \bar{x} a list of variables matching \bar{n} .

- The **type** of $\bar{b} \in N$ **over** A is the set of all such formulas in \bar{x} and with parameters from A which are true in N of \bar{b} .
- Such a type is a complete, consistent set of formulas over A : for any such formula φ over A , either φ or $\neg\varphi$ is a property of \bar{b} , but not both.
- Conversely, if $p(\bar{x})$ is a complete, consistent set of formulas over A , there is $M \succeq N$ such that p is the type of some $\bar{b} \in M$ over A .

A little bit of general Model Theory (4): Saturation

Definition

Let $\kappa \geq |R|$ be an infinite cardinal.

N is κ -saturated if every type over every $A \subset N$, $|A| < \kappa$, is realized in N .

Clearly we must have $|N| \geq \kappa$.

Definition

N is saturated if it is $|N|$ -saturated.

Basic facts

- It is consistent with ZFC that there are **no** uncountable saturated dense linear orders; in fact, the cardinality of such is a “large” cardinal.
- If there is a **strongly inaccessible** cardinal $\kappa > |T| + |N|$, N infinite, then N has a saturated elementary extension of cardinality κ .
 - (κ is regular and $\lambda < \kappa$ implies $2^\lambda < \kappa$.)
- If a theory T is **stable** in cardinality κ , then it has a saturated model of cardinality κ .
- Examples
 - Any vector space over a (skew) field K of dimension $\geq |K|$.
 - Any algebraically closed field of infinite transcendence degree.

- Examples:
 - \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} as an abelian group;
 - If E is a “sufficiently large” injective module over a left noetherian ring, then it is a saturated model of its complete theory;
 - The abelian groups $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p \oplus \mathbb{Q}^{(\kappa)}$, κ infinite.
- If N is a saturated module, then it is pure-injective.
 - because a saturated module is clearly equationally compact!
- In particular, every module can be purely embedded in a pure injective module (any saturated elementary extension).

Two kinds of hulls...

Definition/Theorem

Let M be any module.

There is a unique up-to-isomorphism pure-injective pure extension $N = \overline{M}$ of M such that for any pure-injective pure extension N' of M , there is a pure embedding of N into N' fixing M .

The **pure-injective envelope** of M .

Definition/Theorem

Let $M \leq N$ be modules, with N pure-injective.

There is a unique (up to isomorphism of N fixing M) minimal pure-injective pure submodule $H(M)$ of N containing M , the **hull** of M in N .

Both of these are fundamental tools of the model theory of modules.

Contrasting examples

Consider $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ and \mathbb{Z} as abelian groups.

- $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is not pure in \mathbb{Q} ;
 $H(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) = \mathbb{Q}$.
- $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is pure in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$, and this is in fact the pure-injective envelope.
- The injective envelope of \mathbb{Z} is \mathbb{Q} .
- The pure-injective envelope of \mathbb{Z} is the **profinite completion** of \mathbb{Z} ,
an abelian group of cardinality the continuum.

The End